Chief Constable of Surrey

Statement of Accounts 2014-15



CONTENTS

EXPL	ANATORY FOREWORD	4
1.	Introduction	4
2.	The Statement of Accounts	4
3.	Financial Arrangements	5
4.	Employee Pension Schemes	5
5.	Further Information	5
STAT	EMENT OF RESPONSIBILITIES	10
2014/	2015 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	20
Mov	vement in Reserves Statement of the Chief Constable of Surrey	20
Cor	mprehensive Income & Expenditure Account of the Chief Constable for Surrey	21
Bala	ance Sheet of the Chief Constable for Surrey	22
Cas	sh Flow Statement of the Chief Constable for Surrey	23
NOTE	ES TO THE ACCOUNTS	24
1.	Critical Judgements in Applying Accounting Policies	24
2.	Events After The Balance Sheet Date	25
3.	Assumptions made about the future and other major sources of estimating uncertainty	25
4.	Prior Year Adjustments	25
5.	Exceptional Items	
6.	Adjustments between Accounting Basis and Funding Basis under Regulations	
7.	Unusable Reserves	
8.	Short Term Debtors	
9.	Short Term Creditors	28
10.	Provisions	
11.	Amounts Reported For Resource Allocation Decisions	
12.		
13.		
14.		
15.		
16.		
17.		
18.	Related Parties	43
19.	<u> </u>	
20.	· ·	
ACC	DUNTING POLICIES	
1.	General Principles	
2.	Fundamental Accounting Policies	45
3	Income and Expenditure	46

4.	Overheads and Support Services	46
5.	Employee Benefits Payable During Employment	46
6.	Termination Benefits / Exit Packages	46
7.	Long-term employee benefits - Pension arrangements	47
8.	VAT	48
9.	Prior Period Adjustments, Changes in Accounting Policies and Estimates and Errors	48
10.	Exceptional Items	48
GLOS	SARY OF ACCOUNTING TERMS	49

This publication has been produced by the Finance Department, Surrey Police, Police Headquarters, Mount Browne, Sandy Lane, Guildford, Surrey, GU3 1HG

EXPLANATORY FOREWORD

1. Introduction

The purpose of this foreword is to provide a guide to the most significant matters reported in the 2014/15 Financial Statements for the Chief Constable for Surrey Police. The foreword explains the purpose of the Financial Statements and a summary of the financial activities and the financial position as at 31st March 2015.

The Chief Constable and the Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) were set up as separate corporation sole entities following the election of the Police and Crime Commissioner in November 2012.

The primary function of the entity headed by the Chief Constable is the exercise of operational policing duties under the Police Act 1996. The PCC's function is to hold the Chief Constable to account for the exercise of these duties, thereby securing the maintenance of an efficient and effective police force in Surrey.

This Statement of Accounts includes all the financial transactions incurred during 2014/15 relating to the Chief Constable. The consolidated Group position including the Chief Constable and PCC, have been prepared in a separate Statement of Accounts.

The financial transactions under the above arrangement are broadly incurred as follows:

The PCC receives all income relating to the Group, and incurs costs relating to the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (OPCC). The PCC funds expenditure incurred by the Chief Constable and holds most of the Balance Sheet items.

The Chief Constable incurs all operating costs (excluding those relating to the OPCC) which are funded directly by the PCC. The Chief Constable accounts for all pension costs, including the associated pension liability that is shown in the Chief Constables Balance Sheet.

2. The Statement of Accounts

The Financial Statements, which follow this foreword have been prepared in accordance with the 2014 Code (Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2014/15 Based on International Financial Reporting Standards) issued by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance & Accountancy (CIPFA) and comprise of:

- Movement in Reserves Statement. This statement shows the movement in the year on the different reserves held analysed into 'usable reserves' and other reserves.
- Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement. This statement shows the accounting cost in the year
 of providing services in accordance with generally accepted accounting practices, rather than the amount
 to be funded from taxation. The PCC raises taxation to cover expenditure in accordance with regulations;
 this may be different from the accounting cost. Expenditure in accordance with regulation is shown in the
 Movement in Reserves Statement.
- Balance Sheet. This statement shows the value as at the 31st March 2015 of the assets and liabilities recognised by the Chief Constable. The net assets (assets less liabilities) are matched by either usable or unusable reserves.
- Cash Flow Statement. This statement shows the changes in cash and cash equivalents of the Chief Constable during the reporting period. The Chief Constable does not manage any of these transactions and accordingly this statement does not show any figures. Movements of monies are included in the PCC's Statement of Accounts.

The Financial Statements are supported by various notes to the accounts designed to aid the reader. In addition to the Financial Statements, the annual accounts include a Statement of Responsibilities for the Accounts and

information on the Police Officer Pension Fund (providing statements for pension fund income and expenditure, assets and liabilities).

An Annual Governance Statement is included within this Statement of Accounts. The statement is a statutory document which explains the governance processes and procedures in place to enable the Chief Constable to carry out functions effectively

3. Financial Arrangements

Setting the Budget

The PCC sets the annual budget for the Group in consultation with the Chief Constable. The PCC Group gross revenue budget (or operating budget) for 2014/15, was set at £207.3m (2013/2014, £207.7m) of which £204.7m was attributed to policing under the direction of the Police Constable for the year, the remaining gross revenue budget being attributed to the cost of administering the PCC.

Final Outturn

Overall the Group expenditure for 2014/15 totalled £205.0m (2013/2014, £207.4m), resulting in a £2.3m underspend being transferred to General Balances. Actual expenditure attributable to policing under the direction of the Chief Constable was £202.7m (2013/2014, £205.7m).

4. Employee Pension Schemes

Employees of Surrey Police are able to join a pension scheme. For police staff the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) is available and police officers can join the Police Pension Scheme. They are both defined benefit schemes, but differ in their operation. A fuller explanation is provided in the Notes to the Financial Statements. Although benefits from these schemes will not be payable until an officer or staff member retires, the PCC Group has a future commitment to make these payments and under International Accounting Standard 19 (IAS 19), the PCC Group is required to account for this future commitment based on the full cost at the time of retirement.

The value of the police staff pension scheme is assessed triennially and the employer's contribution is adjusted in line with the actuary's recommendations. The latest triennial valuation completed in April 2013 resulted in employer's contributions being increased in April 2014 from 12% to 17.7%. This increase in costs is being offset partly by a specific reserve that was set up in 2009/10 to address the anticipated deficit. In March 2015 the PCC also made a payment totalling £2.5m to the Surrey Local Government Pension Scheme to reduce the current Surrey Police Local Government Pension Scheme Deficit.

For the police officers pension fund, the employer's contribution rate is presently set at 24.2%. Under current legislation, any costs that are over or under the employer's contribution are met by the Home Office or returned to them.

5. Further Information

The Medium Term Financial Plan continues to reflect the decreasing level of funding from central Government, which is being mitigated by the Force's efficiency-driven strategic change programmes. The PCC set the revenue budget for 2015/16 at £205.7m, which required a 1.99% increase in council tax, despite the overall budget reducing.

Surrey Police has undertaken significant change activity through a Policing Together programme with Sussex Police, and also with other forces in the South-East region, in order to meet the financial challenges, increase resilience and improve service delivery.

Work is also progressing to develop joint Support Services functions across both Surrey and Sussex where a Joint Procurement Service, Joint Insurance Service and Joint Transport Service are already established. During 2014/15 the Joint Chief Information Officer developed a joint ICT strategy and work is ongoing to jointly procure a new ERP to enable Joint Support Services. A joint Senior Management Team structure has been implemented for both HR and Learning and Development and is currently being established for ICT and Finance.

The scope for collaborative activity between Surrey and Sussex Police over the next few years will build upon the existing work within the Specialist Crime and Operations Commands and develop joint functions with Contact & Deployment, Support Services and Corporate Services.

Work is underway in Specialist Crime to consider in-force and regional opportunities around Investigations and Investigations Support, and a Joint Surrey/Sussex Cyber Crime Unit went live during 2014/15.

Surrey and Sussex Police are also exploring opportunities to work more closely with other emergency service partners through the Emergency Service Collaboration Programme. During 2014/15 funding was secured from the Police Innovation Fund to support this programme of work, which has the potential to deliver significant benefits in the medium to long term.

Details of the PCC's 2015/2016 budget can be found in the Surrey Police and Crime Commissioner's Precept Setting proposal. Whilst the annual budget is agreed by the PCC it is scrutinised by the Police and Crime Panel before a final decision is made. Copies of the documents can be obtained from the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Surrey by telephoning: 01483 630200, or from the web site at www.surrey-pcc.gov.uk

Paul Bundy, Chief Financial Officer

Date:

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT TO THE CHIEF CONSTABLE FOR SURREY

We have audited the financial statements of the Chief Constable for Surrey for the year ended 31 March 2015 under the Audit Commission Act 1998. The financial statements comprise the Movement in Reserves Statement, the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, the Balance Sheet, the Cash Flow Statement and the related notes and include the Surrey police pension fund financial statements comprising the Fund Account, the Net Assets Statement and the related notes. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2014/15.

This report is made solely to the Chief Constable for Surrey, as a body, in accordance with Part II of the Audit Commission Act 1998 and as set out in paragraph 48 of the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies published by the Audit Commission in March 2010. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Chief Constable those matters we are required to state to the Chief Constable in an auditor's report and for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Chief Constable as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

Respective responsibilities of the Chief Financial Officer and auditor

As explained more fully in the Statement of Responsibilities – The Responsibilities of the Chief Financial Officer, the Chief Financial Officer is responsible for the preparation of the Statement of Accounts, which includes the financial statements, in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2014/15, and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view. Our responsibility is to audit and express an opinion on the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland). Those standards also require us to comply with the Auditing Practices Board's Ethical Standards for Auditors.

Scope of the audit of the financial statements

An audit involves obtaining evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements sufficient to give reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. This includes an assessment of: whether the accounting policies are appropriate to the Chief Constable's circumstances and have been consistently applied and adequately disclosed; the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by the Chief Financial Officer; and the overall presentation of the financial statements. In addition, we read all the financial and non-financial information in the explanatory foreword and the annual governance statement to identify material inconsistencies with the audited financial statements and to identify any information that is apparently materially incorrect based on, or materially inconsistent with, the knowledge acquired by us in the course of performing the audit. If we become aware of any apparent material misstatements or inconsistencies we consider the implications for our report.

Opinion on financial statements

In our opinion the financial statements:

- give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Chief Constable for Surrey as at 31 March 2015 and of its expenditure and income for the year then ended; and
- have been properly prepared in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2014/15 and applicable law.

Opinion on other matters

In our opinion, the information given in the explanatory foreword and the Annual Governance Statement for the financial year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the financial statements.

Matters on which we report by exception

We are required to report to you if:

- in our opinion the annual governance statement does not reflect compliance with 'Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: a Framework' published by CIPFA/SOLACE in June 2007; or
- we issue a report in the public interest under section 8 of the Audit Commission Act 1998; or
- we designate under section 11 of the Audit Commission Act 1998 a recommendation as one that requires the Chief Constable to consider it at a public meeting and to decide what action to take in response; or
- we exercise any other special powers of the auditor under the Audit Commission Act 1998.

We have nothing to report in these respects.

Conclusion on the Chief Constable's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources

Respective responsibilities of the Chief Constable and the auditor

The Chief Constable is responsible for putting in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources, to ensure proper stewardship and governance, and to review regularly the adequacy and effectiveness of these arrangements.

We are required under Section 5 of the Audit Commission Act 1998 to satisfy ourselves that the Chief Constable has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. The Code of Audit Practice issued by the Audit Commission requires us to report to you our conclusion relating to proper arrangements, having regard to relevant criteria specified by the Audit Commission in October 2014.

We report if significant matters have come to our attention which prevent us from concluding that the Chief Constable has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We are not required to consider, nor have we considered, whether all aspects of the Chief Constable's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources are operating effectively.

Scope of the review of arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources

We have undertaken our review in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice, having regard to the guidance on the specified criteria, published by the Audit Commission in October 2014, as to whether the Chief Constable has proper arrangements for:

- securing financial resilience; and
- challenging how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

The Audit Commission has determined these two criteria as those necessary for us to consider under the Code of Audit Practice in satisfying ourselves whether the Chief Constable put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2015.

We planned our work in accordance with the Code of Audit Practice. Based on our risk assessment, we undertook such work as we considered necessary to form a view on whether, in all significant respects, the Chief Constable had put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

Conclusion

On the basis of our work, having regard to the guidance on the specified criteria published by the Audit Commission in October 2014, we are satisfied that, in all significant respects, the Chief Constable for Surrey put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2015.

Certificate

We certify that we have completed the audit of the financial statements of the Chief Constable for Surrey in accordance with the requirements of the Audit Commission Act 1998 and the Code of Audit Practice issued by the Audit Commission.

lain Murray

for and on behalf of Grant Thornton UK LLP, Appointed Auditor

Grant Thornton House Melton Street Euston square London NW1 2EP

24 September 2015

STATEMENT OF RESPONSIBILITIES

The Responsibilities of the Chief Constable

The Chief Constable is required:

- To make arrangements for the proper administration of the Force's financial affairs and to ensure that one of its officers (the Chief Financial Officer) has the responsibility for the administration of those affairs.
- To manage its affairs to secure economic, efficient and effective use of resources and safeguard its assets.
- To approve the Statement of Accounts.

I approve these audited Statement of Accounts.

Lynne Owens
Chief Constable of Surrey Police

Date: 24th September 2015

The Responsibilities of the Chief Financial Officer

The Chief Financial Officer is responsible for the preparation of the Force's Statement of Accounts in accordance with proper practices as set out in the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom ('the Code').

In preparing this Statement of Accounts, the Chief Financial Officer has:

- Selected suitable accounting policies and then applied them consistently.
- Made judgements and estimates that were reasonable and prudent.
- Complied with the Code.
- Kept proper accounting records which were up to date.
- Taken reasonable steps for the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities.

I certify that the Statement of Accounts gives a true and fair view of the financial position of Surrey Police at the accounting date and the income and expenditure for the year ended 31 March 2015.

Paul Bundy
Chief Financial Officer for Surrey Police

Date: 23 VENTENBER 2015

ANNUAL GOVERNANCE STATEMENT 2014/15

The Chief Constable is required by the Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2011 to produce an annual governance statement which must accompany the statement of accounts. This requirement is reflected in the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2014/15 (Chartered Institute of Public Finance & Accountancy, CIPFA).

This Statement sets out the position as at 1/4/15, including plans for the financial year 2015/16.

1. Scope of Responsibility

The Chief Constable of Surrey Police is responsible for the direction and the control of the Force. In discharging her duties, the Chief Constable shall have regard to any recommendations by the Police and Crime Commissioner (PCC) and the Local Police and Crime Plan.

In addition, the Chief Constable has responsibilities where the PCC delegates his functions in respect of securing an efficient and effective police force. In this regard the Chief Constable is responsible for ensuring that the Force's business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, and that the public money is safeguarded, properly accounted for, used economically, efficiently and effectively.

The Chief Constable is also responsible for putting in place proper arrangements for the governance of the Force and for facilitating the exercise of its role, which includes ensuring that arrangements are in place for the management of risk.

The PCC and Chief Constable must both have a suitably qualified Chief Financial Officer (CFO) with defined responsibilities and powers. The CIPFA Statement requires that the CFO should be professionally qualified, report directly to the PCC or the CC (depending on the specific CFO concerned) and be a member of the leadership team. In the Office of Police Crime Commissioner (OPCC), the role of the CFO meets these requirements. In Surrey Police, the CFO is a key member of the Chief Constable's leadership team, but does not report directly to the Chief Constable. To deliver Support Services in a more effective and cost efficient way, the Force Chief Officer Group considers that it has adopted a more appropriate management arrangement, in that the CFO reports to the Assistant Chief Officer (during 2015/16 this will change with the CFO reporting to the Deputy Chief Constable), albeit the CFO continues under this arrangement to have a direct right of access to the Chief Constable on financial matters.

2. The Purpose of the Governance Framework

The governance framework comprises the systems, processes, culture and values through which the Force manages its activities. It enables the Chief Constable to monitor the achievement of Force objectives and to consider whether those objectives have led to the delivery of an appropriate, cost-effective service, including achieving value for money.

The system of internal control is a significant part of that framework and is designed to manage risk to a reasonable level. The framework includes the Scheme of Corporate Governance, Scheme of Delegation, Financial Regulations and Contract Standing Orders. It cannot eliminate all risk of failure to achieve policies, aims and objectives; it can, therefore, only provide reasonable, and not absolute, assurance of effectiveness. The system of internal control is based on an ongoing process designed to identify and prioritise the risks to the achievement of the Force's policies, aims and objectives. The system is also designed to evaluate the likelihood of those risks being realised, the impact should they be realised, and to manage them effectively, efficiently and economically.

The governance framework has been in place within Surrey Police for the year ended 31st March 2015 and up to the date of approval of the Annual Statement of Accounts 2014/15, all governance documents have also been reviewed during the year.

3. The Governance Framework

The key elements of the Governance Framework include:

3.1 Processes for setting objectives and targets that support the policing priorities outlined in the Police and Crime Plan including reporting to the Office of Police Crime and Commissioner (PCC)

The Police and Crime Commissioner publishes the Police and Crime Plan. This includes six People's Priorities, and does not set targets. Surrey Police reports on the progress of delivering the People's Priorities at the public webcast PCC Management Meetings which occur every two months. There are also private scrutiny meetings in intervening months. The Chief Constable and other Chief Officer Group members attend these meetings to report on progress, and relevant documents are provided, which are available on the PCC's website.

3.2 Decision making structure for establishing priorities and considering strategic issues facing the Force

The Chief Officer Group (COG) at Surrey Police holds meetings every two weeks to consider priorities and strategic issues. On-going change programmes are reviewed at the Strategic Change Board. Each COG lead, Chief Superintendent, and Department Head has their own Senior Management Team (SMT) meeting structure. The Crime Performance Board looks at force performance, and separate meetings exist covering victim care, workforce planning and finance. A Strategic Crime and Incident Recording Group and Strategic Risk and Learning Group are also in place to oversee crime data integrity and risk issues. The Chief Officer teams from Surrey and Sussex Police meet together to discuss priorities, strategic issues and change across both forces, in Senior Leadership Team meetings.

3.3 The monitoring processes by which performance against operational, financial and other strategic plans are considered and key issues identified and tasked

The Force holds a monthly Crime Performance Board which is chaired by the Deputy Chief Constable. Key areas of operational performance risk are presented and reviewed by the Board and Chief Superintendents are held to account for performance in their areas of responsibility. Attendees are expected to commit to actions to improve performance.

Management accounts and the medium term financial plan are published on a regular basis to Senior Management and COG. In addition, this financial information is also presented at the bi-monthly PCC Management Meetings and to the Police and Crime Panel. The Police and Crime Panel scrutinises the PCC, to promote openness in the transaction of PCC business and also to support the PCC in the effectiveness of his functions.

To support the Crime Performance Board a new joint performance governance framework has been developed with Sussex aligned under the HMIC PEEL inspection framework. This includes Boards for Effectiveness, Efficiency and Legitimacy which are all overseen by a quarterly Performance Cabinet which is chaired by the Deputy Chief Constable.

3.4 The risk management processes by which the Force identifies and seeks to mitigate key risks

The risk management strategy has been developed and implemented to collate all internal and external organisational risk intelligence, to evaluate risk using a standard corporate methodology and to develop appropriate control strategies along with on-going monitoring of progress and impact. The Chief Officer Group is responsible for owning and managing Force risks that are considered 'high risk' and also undertake joint responsibility with the PCC on shared risks. The Strategic Risks and Learning Group is the gateway meeting chaired by the Deputy Chief Constable which reviews all Force risks and ensures that the control measures are effective, this group has the responsibility to both remit risks to the Chief Officer Group or back to portfolio level and it is in this Group where Force risks can be closed. Risks are also reviewed on a monthly basis at Department/Divisional SMT meetings. SMTs are attended by senior staff members who feed in risks from their own units and divisions. Detailed information on risks, their impact, relevant control strategies and action plans are maintained on the Force Risk Management Database.

The SMTs allow Senior Officers and Heads of Departments to perform the following functions at divisional and departmental level across the Force;

- Record risks and proposed control measures on the Force Risk Management Database
- Identify any organisational level risks

- Hold managers to account for the delivery of agreed control measures
- Commission new work as appropriate
- Oversee performance, within the portfolio holder's area of accountability
- Oversee development of high-level business cases
- Overview performance within the portfolio

Risk management is a standard agenda item for management, business change and project meetings ensuring management responsibilities are directed at managing risk appropriately.

3.5 Developing, communicating and embedding codes of conduct and defining the standards of behaviour for officers and staff

Surrey Police provides guidance on standards of conduct which is available to staff at all levels. The Force has in place Codes of Conduct for police staff and Standards of Professional Behaviour for police officers, with policies & procedures in support of this. Managers and supervisors at all levels of the Force are expected to set an example and to challenge any behaviour that does not meet this standard and to clearly communicate the Force's values, standards, expectations and priorities.

The Code of Ethics was introduced in July 2014 which sets out the principles and standards of behaviour expected from police professionals. It applies to every individual who works in policing. The Code introduces 9 principles which underpin and strengthen existing procedures and regulations to ensure standards of professional behaviour for both police officers and staff. The organisation is working closely with Sussex to implement and embed the Code, reporting to a joint governance board chaired by the Sussex Deputy Chief Constable. This includes working through a joint action plan to ensure that the principles are built into policies and practice, decision making, conduct and communications etc. Line managers throughout the organisation have been required to discuss the Code of Ethics within their team meetings to raise awareness.

An anti-fraud and anti-corruption policy is in place that incorporates "Anonymous Contact", a confidential and independent web based reporting system. This system enables a dialogue to be conducted with the informer without breaching the anonymity of that person. The Professional Standards Department (PSD) publishes divisional and Independent Police Complaints Commissions (IPCC) reports, detailing the results and lessons learned for complaints and investigations to further provide guidance to all employees.

The Force also has in place arrangements for the reporting of all financial irregularities. Utilising existing arrangements for confidential reporting of unacceptable behaviour, it also incorporates procedures to ensure all reports are conveyed to senior Force managers and to the Section 151 Officer, in line with Financial Regulations.

3.6 Reviewing and updating standing orders, standing financial instruction, a scheme of delegation and supporting procedure notes/manuals, which clearly define how decisions are taken and the processes

The Service Quality Department is responsible for carrying out reviews of specific business areas, as directed by the Chief Officer Group, Strategic Risk and Learning Group with commissions taken from the Crime and Performance Board. The team reviews best practice or areas for improvement, with the aim of improving organisational performance and developing more effective guidance. These reviews can cover specific issues based on policy or procedures, in-depth inspections in a particular part of the Force or any other area that requires attention. Reviews during this financial year have included: disability crime, child protection, custody, domestic violence with injury (investigative opportunities), rape investigation performance, and mental health peer review progress.

The Policy and Procedure Team oversees policy, procedures and guidance in all areas except guidance for Finance and Human Resources which is held within the online Knowledge Centre and managed by those teams. The Policy Unit maintains the Force's 'Policies and Procedures Database'. All of these documents are accessible by all officers and staff at all times. All policy and procedures are assigned an owner and dates for review to ensure that they are all reviewed and updated regularly and the Policy Unit manages this process to ensure that updates are completed.

Single Points of Contact (SPOC) have been identified for business areas, being held responsible for all policies and procedures within their interest area. Any new or significantly updated policy or procedure is subjected to

consultation with all the SPOCs to ensure that the document is clear, relevant and necessary and that any wider implications of the new policy or procedure are considered.

Once the consultation is completed and any issues addressed, the policy or procedure is published. When any policy is added or updated to the database it is included within the weekly Routine Orders bulletin which the notification of is sent to all staff via the email system and also available in the Information Hub.

The Force and Office of the PCC reviewed the key governance documents in the year, Memorandum of Understanding, PCC Scheme of Delegation, Financial Regulations and Contract Standing Orders as well as establishing the Chief Constables Scheme of Delegation.

3.7 Ensuring compliance with relevant laws and regulations, internal policies and procedures, and that expenditure is lawful

The Force ensures it maintains compliance with all statutory and other Government requirements.

The Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE) and accompanying Codes of Practice (and subsequent amendments) provide the core framework of police powers and safeguards around stop and search, arrest, detention, investigation, identification and interviewing detainees.

The Force's Criminal Justice team is responsible for keeping the Force up to date with respect to legislative and national Criminal Justice changes and provides support and guidance to all operational staff. The Force Policy and Procedure Team are responsible for producing the appropriate policies.

To ensure compliance with the relevant laws, regulations and policies, portfolio leads at Superintendent level are in place to ensure that relevant control systems within their area of responsibility are operating effectively.

The Joint Surrey and Sussex Procurement Board includes representation from both Forces and PCC Offices overseeing all procurement activity for contracts in excess of £50,000 (goods and services) and all consultancy agreements of any value conducted on behalf of the PCC. The regulations that govern the expenditure activity are those determined by European and UK Law, together with those set out within the Contract Standing Orders for expenditure below EU tendering thresholds.

The Procurement Team maintains a joint contract register for all agreements that it awards to ensure they are managed throughout their contract term including extensions and end of life reviews.

3.8 Processes for receiving and investigating complaints from the public, and citizens and other redress, and providing reports to the Office of Police Crime and Commissioner

The Force has in place procedures for dealing with complaints from any individual or authority. This details how a complaint should be handled from start to finish, including recording of the complaint and contact with the complainant. All complaints under the Police Reform Act are recorded by the Professional Standards Department (PSD). Complaints made via a third party are also referred to PSD. All complaint handling is in accordance with the Independent Police Complaints Commissions (IPCC) Statutory Guidance 2010, pursuant to Section 22 Police Reform Act 2002.

PSD review complaints. Where suitable for local resolution complaints are progressed by either the relevant senior local manager or staff from within PSD. Serious complaints are retained and investigated by PSD and are referred to the IPCC if required under the IPCC guidelines, if this occurs the IPCC determine who should lead the investigation.

Lessons learnt from complaints are considered during and on completion of an investigation. This enables the Force to identify areas for improvement and in particular whatever additional training is required.

A quarterly report detailing the Force's performance in respect of complaints and misconduct is provided to the PCC.

The responsibilities of the PCC are set out in the Police Reform Act 2002, the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011 and the Elected Local Policing Bodies (Complaints and Misconduct) Regulations 2012. They include keeping informed about complaints, providing the IPCC with information and documentation to carry out its functions, referring complaints or misconduct matters to the IPCC where the Chief Constable has decided not to and to act as the 'appropriate authority' for complaints and conduct matters against the Chief Constable.

3.9 Determining the conditions of employment and remuneration of officers and staff, within the appropriate national frameworks

Surrey Police maintains an open and fair process of determining the conditions and remuneration for police officers and staff. Police officer remuneration is negotiated on a national basis through the Home Office, whilst police staff remuneration is negotiated locally with UNISON at the pay negotiations board.

For all police staff roles, HAY job evaluation is used to ensure that Surrey Police has a pay structure which complies with the principles of equal pay for work of equal value.

All guidance and policies on employment terms and conditions are available to all staff via the online Knowledge Centre, and are kept up to date to reflect any legislative changes or operational requirements. Any amendments are subject to the Force-wide consultation process, the police bureaucracy gateway and the policy and procedure working group, before being implemented.

3.10 Identifying the developmental needs of officers and staff in relation to their roles, supported by appropriate training and linked to the priorities of the Force

Surrey Police has in place policies and procedures for the appropriate training and development of all employees which are supported by the Learning and Development Strategy 2015-2016.

The Force Learning and Development department is based at headquarters with staff also located at Reigate, Guildford and Staines and is responsible for the initial probationary training of all police officers. It also provides thematic training in investigations, information technology, driving skills, first aid and leadership for officers and staff. The department's consultants are the link to stakeholders who follow agreed processes to prioritise training in conjunction with strategic business need and forecast capability gaps via the force workforce planning and performance board so that the training provided directly links to the values and priorities of the organisation.

The Learning and Development Department is in the early stages of a collaboration programme with Sussex to support our efficiency drive and to enable staff to provide the most effective support for the business. It aims to deliver updated technology, a collaborated rationalised range of products in line with the new College of Policing mandated curriculum and development of a workplace coaching culture to drive performance. A review of training programmes is taking place to provide a more blended approach to training with a desire to reduce classroom delivery. A single lead for Surrey and Sussex Learning and Development has recently been appointed with the development of a joint business case currently taking place. Planning and capability are reviewed on a monthly basis via the workforce planning and performance board.

In the past year, the training focus has been on supporting the roll out of our new Leadership Development Programme for first and second line managers, Critical Incident Hydra sessions for Sergeants and Inspectors with Critical Incident Managers receiving two continuing professional development (CPD) events to ensure their skills are up to date. Additional monies were invested in the budget this year to develop investigative skills within the Force, this having been identified as a risk to current practise and future omni-competent model.

Improvements in regional and multi-agency collaborative projects are continuing to produce a greater understanding of best practice and a reduction in cost.

All Surrey Police staff undergo an annual Performance and Development Review (PDR). All PDRs are tailored to individual staff and are based on the activities considered key to their roles as per the Professional Policing Framework. The PDR assesses an individual's performance against the levels of competence.

Comprehensive guidance and policy on all aspects of training and personal development as well as up to date listings of all training courses is available to all employees via the online Knowledge Centre and the Learning and Development intranet pages are being reviewed and amended to bring them in line with corporate design.

3.11 Establishing clear channels of communication with all sections of the community and other stakeholders on priorities and plans

The PCC's People's Priorities include giving the public the opportunity to have a greater say in how your streets are policed. The Force supports the PCCs engagement about the People's Priorities through a range of communication ranging from personal contact at community meetings through to social media engagement.

The corner stone of our engagement is through the Neighbourhood Policing Model where the public continue to have personal contact with officers. Supporting this, traditional communication channels through local broadcast

and print media have continued to be used. There has been an ongoing focus on providing proactive news about Surrey Police's operations and services rather than just responding to inquiries from the media, in order to keep the public informed and confident in the services Surrey Police is providing.

The public are increasingly using social and digital media engagement and we continue to respond to this. At the end of March 2015 we had increased our Twitter followers to over 64k and have over 13k likes of the Force's main Facebook page.

Core force priorities have been supported with marketing campaigns for amongst other things a South East regional burglary campaign, a joint Surrey and Sussex domestic abuse campaign, and a joint Surrey and Sussex drink and drug drive campaign.

Internal communication has been key to ensuring staff are informed about the priorities they need to focus on, this has included continuation of a monthly performance bulletin, awareness raising about child sexual exploitation and significant communication and engagement in relation to change programmes, as well as a new Intranet.

Communications continues to be aligned to operational activity and priorities, including supporting urgent operational activity with appeals and the providing of information to the public, reassuring the public that crimes are being tackled and offenders brought to justice or the provision of crime prevention or deterrent advice. This all supports Surrey Police continuing to place a strong emphasis on its operational policing and developing safe and confident neighbourhoods in Surrey, with the Force recognising the critical importance of effective community engagement to achieve this.

3.12 Incorporating good governance arrangements in respect of partnerships and other group workings as identified by the Audit Commission's report on the governance of partnerships

During 2014/15 Surrey Police Neighbourhood teams have been co-located with community safety partners in all 11 boroughs with benefits from faster information flow and skills sharing. Public front counter services are also delivered from 6 borough council offices.

From a police perspective we form and maintain our partnerships using our force values, which are openly shared with other agencies, as a non-negotiable measurement to inform and direct our partnership working activities.

The force has signed up to the PCC's key priorities which are also hugely impactive in the wider community safety landscape in Surrey. They are:

- Take a zero-tolerance approach to policing in Surrey
- More visible street policing
- Put victims at the centre of the criminal justice system
- Give you the opportunity to have a greater say in how your streets are policed
- Protect your local policing; and
- Being uncompromising in the standard you expect from your police

At County level the Chief Constable sits on the Community Safety Partnership (chaired by the PCC) and the Health and Well Being Board. She also leads the 999 collaboration across the two counties reporting into the County Transformation Programme.

4. Review of Effectiveness

The PCC's CFO, in conjunction with the Chief Constables, is responsible for advising on and implementing effective systems of internal control. These arrangements need to ensure compliance with all applicable statutes and regulations, and other relevant statements of best practice. The review of effectiveness is informed by all senior managers, such as the Heads of Profession (including the CFO), and Divisional Commanders, signing an Annual Management Assurance Statement. In so doing, managers are confirming that – to the best of their knowledge – they are satisfied that controls have been operating effectively during the year across their areas of responsibility and that there are no control breakdowns of which they are aware. In addition, comments made by both the Internal and the External Auditors and other review agencies and inspectorates inform this review.

4.1 Performance Management

As described in 3.3 above, operational performance is reviewed monthly at Crime Performance Board meetings which are attended by senior leaders within the Force.

The Performance and Consultation Unit facilitates the promotion of a performance culture throughout the Force. It provides guidance to support decision making across the Force whilst also ensuring all statutory management information requirements are met. The department has also worked closely with Chief Officers and the PCC to ensure that the Force is able to assess its performance in a coherent and responsible way.

4.2 Joint Audit Committee

The Joint Audit Committee is an essential element of the governance structure. It provides independent assurance to the PCC and Chief Constable about the adequacy of their financial management and reporting. The Committee help to raise the profile of internal control, risk management and financial reporting issues within Surrey Police and provides a forum for discussion with internal and external auditors. Meetings are held in public and papers are published on the PCC's website.

4.3 Risk Management

COG oversees the Force risk management process, see section 3.4 above. The risk management process is subject to scrutiny by Internal and External Audit and the Joint Audit Committee.

4.4 Professional Standards

The Professional Standards Department (PSD) exists primarily to deal with complaints against police officers and police staff by members of the public. It also has a responsibility to the Force and the wider police service to minimise exposure to corruption on which it reports to the Deputy Chief Constable.

4.5 Internal Audit

The internal audit function is carried out by Baker Tilly for both the OPCC and the Chief Constable. The firm operates in accordance with the International Internal Auditing Standards Board of the Institute of Internal Auditors' International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing, 2006 and the CIPFA Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government in the United Kingdom 2006. The Internal Auditors worked in line with an annual internal audit plan for 2014/15, which was approved by the Joint Audit Committee.

The CIPFA code requires Internal Audit to provide an opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the governance framework. That opinion is provided below and is based on the work undertaken by the Internal Auditors in 2014/15 completed in line with the Audit Plan referred to above.

Internal Audit opinion

For the 12 months ended 31 March 2015, based on the work we have undertaken, there are adequate arrangements in place for governance, risk management and control.

The Basis of the opinion

Governance - Our Governance review in 2014/15 focussed on the Stage Two Transfer process. We provided a substantial assurance (Green) audit opinion and made no recommendations.

Risk Management - Our Risk Management review sought to examine evidence that:

- There is a clearly defined risk management process which is communicated to staff / officers;
- There is adherence to the risk management process including documentation of a risk register;
- Risk reporting is sufficient and timely;
- Processes are in place to provide assurance on the effectiveness of controls;
- Risk is embedded throughout the organisation.

We provided a reasonable assurance (Amber/Green) audit opinion and made three medium and two low priority recommendations.

Internal Control - We undertook eleven audits of the control environment, all of which resulted in positive assurance opinions (seven 'substantial assurance', two 'reasonable assurance' and two 'some assurance' opinions). We have also completed two advisory audits, relating to the Drug Alcohol Action Team and procurement; both these audits identified a number of areas for improvement, including a number of high priority recommendations.

4.6 Other explicit review/inspection mechanisms

Surrey Police have three specific gateway meetings which have and will continue to commission internal audit and reviews. These are:

- The Crime Performance Board, which identify necessary quick time reviews and/or audits in accordance with performance needs
- The Strategic Crime and Incident Recording Group, which audits crime and data integrity.
- Strategic Risk and Learning Group, which looks at Force Risks including operational, critical incidents and Issues and commissions reviews.
- Surrey Police also advocate the use of independent inspections where appropriate and have engaged with other Forces, the College of Policing as well as the Information Commissioners Office to provide independent reviews over the last year.

Surrey Police is reviewed on an ongoing basis by Her Majesty's Inspectorate of Constabulary (HMIC), who independently assesses police forces and across policing activity.

During 2014/15 the following inspections were carried out:

- Valuing the Police 4
- Making the Best Use of Police Time
- Crime Data Integrity
- Information Management (Building the Picture)
- PEEL Effectiveness
- Multi Agency Child Protection Pilot
- Firearms Licensing
- Police Integrity and Corruption
- Custody

5. Significant Governance Issues

There are no significant Governance issues to report, however there are some areas for improvement as detailed below.

6. Areas for improvement

The current financial environment, with year on year police grant reductions, is resulting in an enormous amount of change in the police force. There continues to be a national drive for police forces and other public sector organisations to develop new ways of delivering services with collaboration being seen as a key enabler to meet this. Surrey Police has undertaken significant change activity with Sussex Police and other forces in the South-East region, in order to meet financial challenges, increase resilience and improve service delivery to Surrey residents. The scope of collaborative activity between Surrey and Sussex over the next few years will build upon the existing work within the Specialist Crime and Operations Commands and develop joint functions with Contact and Deployment, Support Services and Corporate Services. Both Surrey and Sussex Police Forces are also exploring opportunities to work more closely with other emergency services partners.

During the year, internal audit made seven 'high' recommendations, five in relation to the OPCC Commissioning Victim Support Service, one in relation to Financial Controls and one concerning Forensic Medical Examiners. There is one outstanding 'high' recommendation from 2013/14 in respect of Surrey Police's Business Interests and two from 2011/12 relating to Information and Communication Technology. Progress against these recommendations is monitored by COG and the Joint Audit Committee.

Lynne Owens

Chief Constable

Date: 24th September 2015

Paul Bundy

Chief Financial Officer

Date: 13 SONTENDER 2015

2014/2015 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Movement in Reserves Statement of the Chief Constable of Surrey

	General Reserve £m	Capital Grants Unapplied £m	Capital Receipts Reserve £m	Useable Pension Reserve	Total Useable Reserves £m	Unusable Reserves £m	Total Reserves £m
Balance at 1 April 2013	0.0	0.0	0.0	(1,472.9)	(1,472.9)	0.0	(1,472.9)
Surplus or (deficit) on provision of services Other Comprehensive Expenditure and Income	(77.9) 0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(77.9) 0.0	63.1 0.0	(14.8) 0.0
Total Comprehensive Expenditure and Income	(77.9)	0.0	0.0	0.0	(77.9)	63.1	(14.8)
Adjustments betw een accounting basis & funding basis under regulations	77.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.9	(78.0)	(0.1)
Net Increase / Decrease before Transfers to Earmarked Reserves	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(14.9)	(14.9)
Transfers to / from Earmarked Reserves	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,472.9	1,472.9	(1,472.9)	0.0
Increase / Decrease in Year	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,472.9	1,472.9	(1,487.8)	(14.9)
Balance at 31 March 2014	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(1,487.8)	(1,487.8)
Surplus or (deficit) on provision of services	(67.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	(67.0)	0.0	(67.0)
Other Comprehensive Expenditure and Income	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(181.1)	(181.1)
Total Comprehensive Expenditure and Income	(67.0)	0.0	0.0	0.0	(67.0)	(181.1)	(248.1)
Adjustments betw een accounting basis & funding basis under regulations	67.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.0	(67.0)	0,0
Net Increase / Decrease before Transfers to Earmarked Reserves	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(248.1)	(248.1)
Transfers to / from Reserves	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Increase / Decrease in Year	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(248.1)	(248.1)
Balance at 31 March 2015	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(1,735.9)	(1,735.9)

Comprehensive Income & Expenditure Account of the Chief Constable for Surrey

	31 March 2014	31 March 2015
	£m	£m
Financial resources of the PCC consumed at the request of the CC		
Local Policing	81.7	77.0
Dealing with the Public	24.6	23.0
Criminal Justice Arrangements	18.1	18.8
Roads Policing	11.2	11.5
Specialist Operations	10.7	10.1
Intelligence	20.2	16.1
Investigations	73.6	63.5
Investigative Support	8.2	6.9
National Policing	3.8	5.1
Non Distributed Costs	0.7	0.7
Corporate and Democratic Core	0.6	0.7
Levies	0.4	0.7
Financial resources consumed	253.8	234.1
Police Officer Pension Commutation Provision	0.0	5.7
Intra-group adjustment	(239.6)	(238.2
Net Cost of Policing Services	14.2	1.6
Financing and Investment Income and Expenditure		
Pension Interest Cost	63.7	65.4
(Surplus) or Deficit on Provision of Services	77.9	67.0
Remeasurement of pension assets/liabilities	(63.1)	181.
	(63.1)	181.
Total Comprehensive Income and Expenditure	14.8	248.

Balance Sheet of the Chief Constable for Surrey

31 March 2014			31 March 2015
£m		Notes	£m
0.0	Long Term Assets		0.0
0.0	Total Long Term Assets		0.0
	Current Assets		
0.0	Short Term Debtors	8	6.7
0.0	Total Current Assets		6.7
	Current Liabilities		
(0.6)	Short Term Creditors	9	(0.5)
0.0	Provisions	10	(6.7)
(0.6)	Total Current Liabilities		(7.2)
	Long Term Liabilities		
(1,487.2)	Other Long Term Liabilities		(1,735.4)
(1,487.2)	Total Long Term Liabilities		(1,735.4)
(1,487.8)	Net Assets		(1,735.9)
	Financed by:		
0.0	Usable Reserves		0.0
	Unusable Reserves	7	
(0.6)	Accumulated Absences	1	(0.5)
(1,487.2)	Pension Reserve		(1,735.4)
(1,487.8)			(1,735.9)

Paul Bundy, Chief Financial Officer

Date: 23 ろかたいない そいい

Cash Flow Statement of the Chief Constable for Surrey

	Notes	31 March 2014 £m	31 March 2015 £m
	110100		
Net (surplus) or defecit on the provision of services		0.0	0.0
Adjustments to net (surplus) or defecit on the provision of services for Non Cash Movements		0.0	0.0
Adjustments for items in the net (surplus) or deficit on the provision of services that are investing or financing activities		0.0	0.0
Net cash flows from operating activities		0.0	0.0
Investing activities		0.0	0.0
Financing activities		0.0	0.0
Net (increase) or decrease in cash and cash equivalents		0.0	0.0
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period		0.0	0.0
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the period		0.0	0.0

This table reflects nil transactions as all cash is managed by the Police and Crime Commissioner.

NOTES TO THE ACCOUNTS

1. Critical Judgements in Applying Accounting Policies

The preparation of the financial statements requires judgements, estimates and assumptions to be made that affect the application of policies and reporting amounts of assets and liabilities, income and expenditure. The estimates and associated assumptions are based on historical experience and various other factors, the results of which form the basis of making judgements about the values of expenditure amounts that are not readily apparent from other sources. The estimates and assumptions are reviewed on an on-going basis. The judgements made by the PCC can be seen in the PCC Group accounts, those relevant to the Chief Constable's financial statements are:

- Future funding levels there is a high degree of uncertainty about future levels of funding for Police and Crime Commissioners. However, the Group has determined that this uncertainty is not yet sufficient to provide an indication that the assets of the Group might be impaired as a result of a need to close facilities and reduce levels of service provision.
- Working capital the PCC controls the treasury management function and all bank accounts, therefore
 the majority of the working capital balances (cash, current debtors and currently liabilities) are recognised
 in the PCC's Balance Sheet.
- Pensions The cost of pension arrangements require estimates assessed by an independent qualified
 actuary regarding future cash flows that will arise under the scheme liabilities. The assumptions underlying
 the valuation used for IAS 19 reporting the responsibility of the Group as advised by their actuaries. The
 financial assumptions are largely prescribed at any point and reflect market expectation at the reporting
 date. Assumptions are also made around life expectancy.
- Pensions The PCC also has to determine whether items should be recognised in the PCC or Chief Constable accounts. The decision for the Pension Reserve to be recognised in the Chief Constable accounts was based on the fact that the under stage 2 transfer, that came into effect on the 1st April 2014, the Chief Constable holds the contract of employment for the majority of Police Staff, with the PCC holding contracts of employment for the team of staff in his office. A judgement has also been made not to split the Pension Reserve between the Chief Constable and the PCC. Whilst the Pension Reserve includes staff employed within the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner is it not considered to be material or likely to influence decision or assessments of users made on the basis of the Financial Statements.
- Provisions Police pension commutation A pension provision totalling £5.7m has been recognised in the Group Statement of Accounts to reflect the estimated cost of probable revised lump sum pension payments and interest for retired police officers. Following a complaint from a retired firefighter, the Pensions Ombudsman found the Government Actuarial Office guilty of maladministration in failing to review commutation factors from 1998 to 2006 applicable to lump sum payments. The full determination is available at http://www.pensions-ombudsman.org.uk. The Pensions Ombudsman noted that the principles are the same for other retired firefighters and police officers. To avoid further cases having to be heard, the government is making arrangements for payments to be made to others affected, reflecting the more beneficial terms that would have been applied had commutation factors been reviewed and revised at the appropriate times. A corresponding debtor has been recognised in the Group Statement of Accounts to reflect the Home Office liability to make the additional top up payment as laid down in the Police Pension Fund Regulations 2007. The estimated cost does not include any additional tax, which is a liability for HM Treasury.

- Accumulated absences the PCC has to determine whether the leave accrual should be accounted for in
 the PCC or Chief Constables accounts. As the majority of employee costs are accounted for the in Chief
 Constables accounts the leave accrual has been accordingly matched and accounted for on the Chief
 Constables Balance Sheet. The calculation is based on staff annual leave records and an average costs
 for each grade.
- Collaboration the PCC has to determine how to account for collaborative arrangements. The Surrey/Sussex collaboration is accounted for as a joint operation whereby both parties have joint control of the arrangements and costs are shared in accordance with an agreed funding model.

2. Events After The Balance Sheet Date

The audited Statement of Accounts were approved by the Chief Constable on 23 September 2015. Where events taking place before this date provide information about conditions existing at 31 March 2015, the figures in the financial statements and Notes have been adjusted in all material respects to reflect the impact of this information.

The Chief Constable has no post balance sheet events to report.

3. Assumptions made about the future and other major sources of estimating uncertainty

The Statement of Accounts contains estimated figures that are based on assumptions made by the Chief Constable about the future or that are otherwise uncertain. Estimates are made taking into account historic evidence, current trends and other relevant factors. However, because balances cannot be determined with certainty, actual results could be materially different from the assumptions and estimates.

The items in the Chief Constable's Balance Sheet at 31 March 2015 for which there is a significant risk of material adjustment in the forthcoming financial year are as follows:

ltem	Uncertainties	Effect if Actual Results Differ from Assumptions
Pensions Liability	Estimation of the net liability to pay pensions	The Police Pension Scheme is governed by the Police
	depends on a number of complex judgements	Pensions Regulations 1987 and related regulations. An
	relating to the discount rate used, the rate at	actuarial valuation is carried out every 4 years, the last
	w hich salaries are projected to increase,	being at 31 March 2012. The Group has applied the pension
	changes in retirement ages, mortality rates and	disclosures from Government Actuary's Department (GAD)
	expected returns on pension fund assets. The	model in accordance with the requirements of CIPFA's Code
	Governments Actuary's Department have been	of Practice for accounting periods commencing on or after 1
	engaged to provide the Group with expert advice	April 2010. The last formal valuation of LGPS was 31 March
	about the assumptions to be applied for the Police	2013 when interim reviews were recommended prior to the
	Pension Schemes and Hymans Robertson LLP	next valuation at 31 March 2016. The accuracy of the
	provides the same advice for the Police Staff	outcome relies on assumptions made in a fragile economy,
	Pension Scheme.	and actual results may be materially different.

4. Prior Year Adjustments

The Chief Constable has no prior year adjustments to report for the year ended 31st March 2015.

5. Exceptional Items

The Chief Constable has no exceptional items to report for the year ended 31st March 2015.

6. Adjustments between Accounting Basis and Funding Basis under Regulations

The table below details the adjustments made to the Total Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement recognised by the Chief Constable in the year, in accordance with proper accounting practice to the resources that are specified by statutory provisions as being available to the Chief Constable to meet future capital and revenue expenditure.

2013/2014	General Fund Balance	Total Usable Reserves	Pension Reserve	Accumulated Absences Reserve	Total Unusable Reserves
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Reversal of items included in the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement:					
Net charges made for retirement benefits in accordance with IAS19	(123.8)	(123.8)	123.8		123.8
Short term employee benefits	(0.6)	(0.6)		0.6	0.6
Addition of items excluded from the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement:					
Employer's contribution payble to the Pensions Account and retirement payable direct to pensioners	46.5	46.5	(46.5)		(46.5)
Net additional amount required to be (credited) / debited to the General Fund balance for the year	(77.9)	(77.9)	77.3	0.6	77.9

2014/2015	General Fund Balance	Total Usable Reserves	Pension Reserve	Accumulated Absences Reserve	Total Unusable Reserves
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Reversal of items included in the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement:		-			
Net charges made for retirement benefits in accordance with IAS19	(119.7)	(119.7)	119.7		119.7
Short term employee benefits	0.1	0.1		(0.1)	(0.1)
Addition of items excluded from the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement				:	
Employer's contribution payble to the Pensions Account and retirement payable direct to pensioners	52.6	52.6	(52.6)		(52.6)
Net additional amount required to be (credited) / debited to the General Fund balance for the year	(67.0)	(67.0)	67.1	(0.1)	67.0

7. Unusable Reserves

Unusable Reserves	As At 31 March 2014 £m	As At 31 March 2015 £m	
Accumulated Absences Account Pension Reserve	(0.6) (1,487.2)	(0.5) (1,735.4)	
Total Unusable Reserves	(1,487.8)	(1,735.9)	

Accumulated Absences Account

The Accumulated Absences Account absorbs the differences that would otherwise arise on the General Fund Balance from accruing for compensated absences earned but not taken in the year, e.g. annual leave entitlement carried forward at 31 March. Statutory arrangements require that the impact on the General Fund Balance is neutralised by transfers to or from the Account.

	2013/14	2014/15
	£m	£m
Opening Balance at 1 April	(0.6)	(0.6)
Settlement or cancellation of accrual made at the end of the preceding year	0.6	0.6
Amounts accrued at the end of the current year	(0.6)	(0.5)
Sub Total	(0.6)	(0.5)
Amount by w hich officer remuneration charged to the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Account on an accruals basis is different from remuneration chargeable in year in accordance with statutory requirements	0.0	0.0
Closing Balance at 31 March	(0.6)	(0.5)

Pension Reserve

		Police P	ension		Local Government		Total	
	Old New		Old	New	Tonsion conomo			
	2013/14		2013/14 2014/15		2013/14	2014/15	2013/14	2014/15
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Opening Balance	(1,361.5)	(43.0)	(1,350.5)	(51.9)	(68.4)	(84.8)	(1,472.9)	(1,487.2)
Actuarial (Losses)/Gains	63.7	7.3	(144.1)	(8.6)	(7.9)	(28.4)	63,1	(181.1)
Appropriation from Revenue Account to offset IAS19 composite items	(92.3)	(16.3)	(88.0)	(16.5)	(15.3)	(15.2)	(123.9)	(119.7)
Appropriation to Revenue Account to reconcile back to taxation requirement	39.6	0.1	39.7	0.5	6.8	12.4	46.5	52.6
Closing Balance	(1,350.5)	(51.9)	(1,542.9)	(76.5)	(84.8)	(116.0)	(1,487.2)	(1,735.4)

8. Short Term Debtors

Debts outstanding at 31st March are as follows:

	31 March 2014 £m	31 March 2015 £m
Short Term Debtors		
Intra Group Debtor	0.0	6.7
TOTAL SHORT TERM DEBTORS	0.0	6.7

This debtor relates to the restructuring provision and Police Pension Additional Lump Sums Provision (see Note 10).

9. Short Term Creditors

Payments due at 31st March 2015 are as follows:

	31 March 2014 £m	31 March 2015 £m
Short Term Creditors Accumulated absences	0.6	0.5
TOTAL SHORT TERM CREDITORS	0.6	0.5

10. Provisions

Provisions held at 31st March 2015 are as follows:

`	Balance as at 31 March 2014	Appropriations to/from revenue	Balance as at 31 March 2015
	£m	£m	£m
Restructuring Provision	0.0	1.0	1.0
Police Officer Pension Commutation Provision	0.0	5.7	5.7
Total Provisions	0.0	6.7	6.7

Restructuring Provision

The restructuring provision has been created for restructuring costs in accordance with strategic change programmes that have formal plans or supporting business cases as at 31st March 2015. These change programmes are either in the process of being implemented or will be implemented during 2015/16.

Police Officer Pension Commutation Provision

The Police Officer Pension Commutation Provision has been created for the estimated cost of revising lump sum pension payments expected to be reimbursed to eligible retired officers, following a failure of the Government Actuarial Office to review commutation factors from 1998 to 2006 applicable to the lump sum.

11. Amounts Reported For Resource Allocation Decisions

2013-2014	Operational £m	Support services £m	Other £m	Total for CC	PCC £m	Total £m
	EIII	Lili	AIII	AIII		~!!!
Fees, charges & other service income	(5.5)	(2.3)	(2.3)	(10.1)	(0.0)	(10.1)
Government grants	(1.1)	(0.0)	(1.8)	(2.9)	(0.0)	(2.9)
						-
Total Income	(6.6)	(2.3)	(4.1)	(13.0)	(0.0)	(13.0)
Employee expenses	131.4	19.0	34.0	184.4	0.7	185.1
Other operating expenses	15.9	18.8	(0.4)	34.3	1.0	35.3
Total operating expenses	147.3	37.8	33.6	218.7	1.7	220.4
Net Cost of Services	140.7	35.5	29.5	205.7	1.7	207.4

Reconciliation to Net Cost of Services in Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement

205.7

Net expenditure of services & support services not included in main analysis

Amounts in the CI & E Statement not included in management accounts e.g. IAS19 pension costs

Amounts reported to management not included in Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement e.g. pension contributions payable to the pension fund, capital financing charges

Net Cost of Services in Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement

237.6

Reconciliation to Subjective Analysis

	Service Analysis	Services not in Analysis	Not included in Management Accounts	Not included in I&E	Net Cost of Services	Amount attributable to PCC	Pensions	Intra Group Funding	Total
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Fees, charges & other service income Gain on Disposal of Fixed Assets Interest and investment income Income from council tex Government grants and contributions Intra Group Funding	(10.1)		(0.1) (2.5) (0.7)	0.1	(10.2) 0.0 0.1 (2.5) (3.6)	11.6 0.0 0.0 2.5 0.0		(239.6)	1.4 0.0 0.1 0.0 (3.6) (239.6)
Total Income	(13.0)	0.0	(3.3)	0.1	(16.2)	14.1	0.0	(239.6)	(241.7)
Employee expenses Other service expenses Depreciation, amortisation and impairment Precepts & Levies Profit on Disposal of Fixed Assets	184.1 34.6		25.7 7.1	(0.3) 3.0 (0.4)	209.8 34.3 3.0 (0.4) 7.1	0.7 1.0 0.0 0.4 0.0	63.7		274.2 35.3 3.0 0.0 7.1
Total operating expenses	218.7	0.0	32.8	2.3	253.8	2.1	63.7	0.0	319.6
Surplus or deficit on the provision of services	205.7	0.0	29.5	2.4	237.6	16.2	63.7	(239.6)	77.9

2014-2015	Operational £m	Support services £m	Other £m	Total for CC £m	PCC £m	Total £m
Fees, charges & other service income Government grants	(5.1) (1.5)	(1.0) 0.0	(1.0) (1.5)	(7.1) (3.0)	(0.0) (0.6)	(7.1) (3.6)
Total Income	(6.6)	(1.0)	(2.5)	(10.1)	(0.6)	(10.7)
Employee expenses Other operating expenses	134.9 21.8	18.2 17.2	16.4 4.3	169.5 43.3	0.8 2.1	170.3 45.4
Total operating expenses	156.7	35.4	20.7	212.8	2.9	215.7
Net Cost of Services	150.1	34.4	18.2	202.7	2.3	205.0

Reconciliation to Net Cost of Services in Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement

£m

Cost of Services in Service Analysis

202.7

Net expenditure of services & support services not included in main analysis

15.3

Amounts in the CI & E Statement not included in management accounts e.g. IAS19 pension costs

10.0

Amounts reported to management not included in Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement e.g. pension contributions payable to the pension fund, capital financing charges

4.9

Net Cost of Services in Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement

222.9

Reconciliation to Subjective Analysis

	Service Analysis	Services not in Analysis	Not Included in Management Accounts	Not included in I&E	Net Cost of Services	Amount attributable to PCC	Pensions	Intra Group Funding	Total
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Fees, charges & other service income Gain on Disposal of Fixed Assets Interest and investment income Income from council tax Government grants and contributions Intra Group Funding	(7.1)			0.1	(7.1) 0.0 0.1 0.0 (3.0)	(0.6)		(238.2)	1.2 0.0 0.1 0.0 (3.6) (238.2)
Total Income	(10.1)	0.0	0.0	0.1	(10.0)	7.7	0.0	(238.2)	(240.5)
Employee expenses Police Pension Additional Lump Sums Other service expenses Depreciation, amortisation and impairment Precepts & Levies Profit on Disposal of Fixed Assets	169.5 43.3	(0.1) 0.1	14.7	(3.0) 8.5 (0.7)	184.1 40.4 8.5 (0.7) 0.6	0.7 2.1 0.7	65.4 5.7		250.2 5.7 42.5 8.5 0.0 0.6
Total operating expenses	212.8	0.0	15.3	4.8	232.9	3.5	71.1	0.0	307.5
Surplus or deficit on the provision of services	202.7	0.0	15.3	4.9	222.9	11.2	71.1	(238.2)	67.0

Subjective Expenditure Analysis

	2013/2014	2014/2015
	£m	£m
Financial Resources of the PCC consumed at the request of the CC		
Police Pay, NI and Allow ances	83.7	82.5
Police Staff Pay, NI, Superannuation & Allow ances	76.1	68.9
Other Employee Expenditure	6.3	5.4
Total Employee Expenditure	166.1	156.8
Net Cost Police Pensions Scheme	39.2	33.7
Premises	9.3	7.7
Supplies and Services	23.5	21.3
Transport	4.7	4.9
Depreciation	10.6	9.0
Levies	0.4	0.7
Total financial resourses of the PCC consumed at the request of the CC	253.8	234.1
Police Officer Pension Commutation Provision	0.0	5.1
Intra-group adjustment	(239.6)	(238.2
Net cost of Policing Services	14.2	1.0
Financing and Investment Income and Expenditure		
Pension Interest Cost and Expected Return on Assets	63.7	65.
(Surplus) or Deficit on Provision of Services	77.9	67.

12. Collaborations

The PCC Group continues to develop joint working arrangements with Sussex Police through a Policing Together programme, and other agencies in the South-East region.

Up to 31st March 2015 the PCC Group supported the following regional arrangements via a contribution to the host force in accordance with an agreed funding model:

- South East Regional Organised Crime Unit
- Serious Crime Investigation Team
- Covert Operations Unit
- Technical Surveillance Unit
- Witness Protection Unit

The PCC Group also support the National Police Air Service in West Yorkshire.

Each of the collaborations between Surrey and Sussex Police Forces has a Lead Force and costs are shared in accordance with an agreed funding model. Each Force accounts for their share of total income and expenditure and assets in the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement and Balance Sheet.

A Joint Command arrangement has been in place since 1st September with Surrey and Sussex Police Forces working together on Major Crime, Scientific Support and Tactical Firearms. This changed in October 2013 when Major Crime and Scientific Support came under the Specialist Crime Collaboration with Surrey Police as the lead force, and Tactical Firearms became part of the Operation Collaboration with Sussex Police as the lead force.

In the Support Services area collaborations have been established for Joint Procurement, Insurance Services and a Joint Transport Service.

Joint Surrey and Sussex roles are also in place for Corporate Services Head of Change, Niche Development Manager, Joint Commercial Planning Manager, Chief Information Officer and IT Managers.

	Total Cost 2014-15	Surrey Share 2014-15	Surrey Share 2013-14
	£m	£m	£m
Collaborated Services			
Sussex			
Joint Command	0.0	0.0	10.9
Operations Command	34.0	15.4	0.0
Specialist Crime	15.7	7.1	0.0
Joint Transport Service	1.1	0.5	0.0
Procurement	0.5	0.2	0.3
Insurance	0.2	0.1	0.0
Shared Costs			
Chief Officers	0.4	0.2	0.0
Policing Together Support Services	0.4	0.2	0.0
∏ Senior Leaders Team	0.4	0.2	0.0
Joint Target Operating Model	0.2	0.1	0.0
Other	0.2	0.1	0.0
	53.1	24.1	11.3

13. Joint Audit Committee

Under the governance arrangements for policing, the Chief Constable and the PCC have a Joint Audit Committee to provide independent and effective assurance about the adequacy of financial management and reporting. The Committee help to raise the profile of internal control, risk management and financial reporting issues within Surrey Police and provide a forum for discussion with internal and external auditors.

Members' Allowances for the Joint Audit Committee are:

Attendance Allowance £22.59 per hour Chair Allowance £2500 per annum

14. Officers' Remuneration

The number of employees (including Police Officers) whose remuneration was £50,000 or more is as follows:

Amounts exclude employers' pension costs, but include all payments, taxable allowances and the monetary value of other employee benefits. Employees included as seconded were on secondment to other agencies as at 31 March 2015. The costs of seconded employees are fully recovered.

B	eration Band		2013/14			2014/15	
Remun	£	Number of Employees	Seconded Employees	Total	Number of Employees	Seconded Employees	Total
Chief Constab	ole .					:	
50,000 -	54,999	99	2	101	117	1	118
55,000 -	59,999	82	1	83	66	2	68
60,000 -	64,999	14	1	15	14	0	14
65,000 -	69,999	11	1	12	7	0	7
70,000 -	74,999	3	0	3	6	1	7
75,000 -	79,999	13	1	14	3	1	4
80,000 -	84,999	5	0	5	11	1	12
85,000 -	89,999	1	0	1	3	0	3
90,000 -	94,999	0	0	0	0	0	0
95,000 -	99,999	1	0	1	3	0	3
100,000 -	104,999	0	0	0	0	0	0
105,000 -	- 109,999	0	0	0	0	0	0
110,000 -	- 114,999	0	0	0	0	0	0
115,000 -	- 119,999	0	0	0	1	0	1
120,000 -	124,999	0	0	0	0	0	0
125,000 -	129,999	0	0	0	0	0	0
130,000 -	134,999	0	0	0	0	0	0
135,000 -	- 139,999	0	0	0	0	0	0
140,000 -	144,999	0	0	0	1	0	1
145,000 -	149,999	1	0	1	0	0	0
150,000 -	154,999	0	0	0	0	0	0
155,000 -	159,999	0	О	0	0	0	0
Total		230	6	236	232	6	238

Remuneration for Senior Officers with a salary between £50,000 and £150,000 with responsibility for the management of Surrey Police were:	ween £50,000	and £150,000	with responsibilit	y for the manage	ement of Surr	ey Police wer	.;;
		_	2013/14		2014/2015	2015	
Position	Position	Position End	Total	Salary, Fees	Employers	Any other	Total
	Start Date	Date	Remuneration	& Allowances	Pension	emoluments	Remuneration
					Contribution		
			£	£	£	£	स
Chief Constable-Lynne Owens	01/02/2012		184,284	143,608	33,458	1,872	178,938
Deputy Chief Constable	15/06/2009	02/06/2013	30,195				
Deputy Chief Constable	29/07/2013		100,269	116,222	27,603	1,613	145,438
Assistant Chief Constable	22/09/2004	09/09/2013	63,602				
T/Assistant Chief Constable	11/03/2012	09/06/2013	19,571				
Assistant Chief Constable	20/05/2013		105,780	98,438	23,049	3,021	124,508
Assistant Chief Constable-Note 1	04/10/2013		61,090	98,842	23,058	2,951	124,851
Chief Superintendent	23/11/2008		102,433	88,548	20,060	1	108,608
Chief Superintendent	14/10/2007	02/05/2013	13,777				
Chief Superintendent	24/05/2009		101,917	84,684	20,060	2,912	107,656
Chief Superintendent	07/01/2013		99,147	82,394	18,983	2,968	104,345
Chief Superintendent	07/01/2013		95,820	89,308	20,925	2,999	113,232
Chief Superintendent	09/06/2014			83,624	18,866	3,075	105,565
Chief Superintendent-Note 1	01/04/2010	03/10/2013	61,089				
Assistant Chief Officer	25/01/2010	11/05/2013	13,237				
Assistant Chief Officer	27/08/2013		62,418	97,645	15,906	ı	113,551
Head of Corporate Communications	30/04/2013		68,764	68,393	11,142	ı	79,535
Head of Human Resources	01/08/2009		84,086	84,577	13,743	ı	98,320
Head of Finance & Services	01/09/2010		91,415	84,423	13,743	ı	98,166
Head of ICT	24/10/2011	25/10/2013	49,560				
Head of ICT	02/06/2014			84,251	14,227	ı	98,478
			, L	7 00 7	074 000	77	7 604
CHIEF CONSTABLE TOTAL			1,408,454	1,304,957	2/4,823	71,411	1,001,191

Note 1 - 2013/2014 position covered by same employee

15. Number of exit packages agreed

The numbers and total amounts of exit packages paid to employees (including Police Officers) were as shown in the table below. All payments were redundancies.

Exit Package	costb	and	2013/14	2013/14	2014/15	2014/15
			Number	Total Cost of Packages	Number	Total Cost of Packages
	£			£		£
0	-	19,999	47	484,961	32	321,081
20,000	-	39,999	15	405,684	10	296,876
40,000	-	59,999	0	0	0	0
60,000	-	79,999	0	0	0	0
80,000	-	99,999	0	0	0	0
100,000	-	149,999	0	0	0	0
150,000	-	199,999	0	0	0	0
Total			62	890,645	42	617,957

16. Defined Benefit Pension Schemes

Pension Arrangements

As part of the terms and conditions of employment of its officers and other employees, the Group offers retirement benefits. Although these benefits will not actually be payable until employees retire, the Group has a commitment to make the payments that need to be disclosed at the time that employees earn their future entitlement. These commitments are included in the Chief Constables Accounts and consolidated into the Group Accounts.

The Group participates in two pension schemes:

- The Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) for police staff employees, administered locally by Surrey County Council this is a funded defined benefit scheme, meaning that the Group and employees pay contributions into a fund, calculated at a level intended to balance the pension liabilities with investment assets. Up to 31 March 2014 the scheme was based on final salary but from 1 April 2014 the scheme will be based on career average.
- The Police Pension Scheme for police officers this is an unfunded defined benefit final salary scheme, the funding arrangements of which are contained in the Police Pension Fund Regulations 2007 (SI 2007/1932). The Group and employees pay contributions into the fund during the year, but there is no investment assets built up to meet the pension liabilities and payments as they fall due. The regulations require that if the pension fund does not have enough funds to meet the cost of pensions in any year, the amount required to meet the deficit must be transferred from the Group to the pension fund. Subject to Parliamentary scrutiny and approval, up to 100% of this amount is then recouped by the Group in the form of a top-up grant paid by central Government. Conversely, if the police pension fund is in surplus for the year, the surplus is required to be transferred from the pension fund to the Group, which in turn is required to pay the amount to central Government.

The costs of retirement benefits are recognised in the Net Cost of Services when they are earned by employees, rather than when the benefits are eventually paid as pensions. In accordance with IAS 19 requirements, the amount includes current and past service costs, curtailments and settlements, together with the gains/losses on the police injury pension. The current service cost and valuation of the Schemes as at 31 March 2015 have been produced by actuaries. The following transactions reflect the total charges in the Chief Constables Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement. Although these benefits will not actually be payable until employees retire, the Group has a commitment to make the payments for those benefits and to disclose them at the time the employee earns them.

Transactions relating to Retirement Benefits

	Police Pension Scheme				LGPS Scheme		Total	
	Old	New	Old	New				
	2013/14		2014/15		2013/14	2014/15	2013/14	2014/15
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement								
Cost of Services								
Service cost comprising:								
current service cost	34.2	13.4	29.4	13.0	11.7	11.3	59.3	53.7
past service costs	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.7	0.4
(gain) / loss from settlements	0.0	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3
Finance and Investment Income and Expenditure								
Net interest expense	57.9	2.7	58.6	3.1	3.2	3.6	63.8	65.3
Total post employment benefits charged to the surplus or deficit on the Provision of Services	92.3	16.2	88.0	16.5	15.3	15.2	123.8	119.7
Other post employment benefits charged to the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement								
Remeasurement of the net defined benefit liability comprising:						,		
return on plan assets	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(2.5)	(16.7)	(2.5)	(16.7)
actuarial gains and losses arising on changes in demographic assumptions	0.0	0.0	(63.1)	(8.7)	6.1	0.0	6.1	(71.8)
actuarial gains and losses arising on changes in financial assumptions	(42.2)	(5.7)	234.8	19.2	0.3	47.0	(47.6)	301.0
other	(21.5)	(1.6)	(27.6)	(1.9)	4.0	(1.9)	(19.1)	(31.4)
Total post employment benefits charged to the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement	(63.7)	(7.3)	144.1	8.6	7.9	28,4	(63.1)	181.1
Movement in Reserves Statement reversal of net charges made to the surplus of deficit on the provision of services for post employment benefits in accordance with the Code	(92.3)	(16.2)	(88.0)	(16.5)	(15.3)	(15.2)	(123.8)	(119.7)
Actual amount charged against the General Fund Balance for pensions in the year:								
Employers' contributions payable to scheme	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.8	12.4	6.8	12.3
Retirement benefits payable to pensioners	39.6	0.1	39.7	0.5	4.4	4.6	44.1	44.8

Assets and liabilities in relation to retirement benefits

Reconciliation of Present Value of the Scheme Liabilities (Defined Benefit Obligation)

	Police Pension Scheme				LGPS So	cheme	Total	
	Old New 2013/14		Old New 2014/15		2013/14	2014/15	2013/14	2014/15
	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
Opening balance at 1 April 2014	(1,349.4)	(55.0)	(1,338.4)	(63.8)	(227.0)	(258.9)	(1,631.4)	(1,661.1)
Current service cost	(34.2)	(13.4)	(29.4)	(13.0)	(11.7)	(11.3)	(59.3)	(53.7)
Interest cost	(57.9)	(2.7)	(58.6)	(3.1)	(10.4)	(11.3)	(71.0)	(73.0)
Contributions from scheme participants	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(3.4)	(3.3)	(3.4)	(3.3)
Remeasurement (gains) and losses: Actuarial gains / losses arising from changes in demographic assumptions	0.0	0.0	63.1	8.7	(6.1)	0.0	(6.1)	0.0 71.8
Actuarial gains / losses arising from changes in financial assumptions	42.2	5.7	(234.8)	(19.2)	(0.3)	(47.0)	47.6	(301.0
Other	21.5	1.6	27.6	1.9	(4.0)	1.9	19.1	31.4
Past service cost (Pensions Transfers In)	(0.2)	(0.1)	0.0	(0.4)	0.0	0.0	(0.3)	(0.4
Losses / (gains) on curtailment	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.3
Benefits paid	39.6	0.1	39.7	0.5	4.4	4.6	44.1	44.8
Closing balance at 31 March 2015	(1,338.4)	(63.8)	(1,530.8)	(88.4)	(258.9)	(325.6)	(1,661.1)	(1,944.8

Reconciliation of fair value of the scheme assets:

	Local Gove	
	2013/14	2014/15
	£m	£m
Opening Balance 1st April 2014	158.5	174.0
Interest income	7.3	7.7
Remeasurement gain / (loss):		
The return on plan assets, excluding the amount	0.4	40.7
included in the net interest expense	2.4	16.7
Other	0.0	0.0
Contributions from employer	6.8	12.3
Contributions from employees into the scheme	3.4	3.3
Benefits paid	(4.4)	(4.6)
Closing Balance 31st March 2014	174.0	209.4

The expected return on scheme assets is determined by considering the expected returns available on the assets underlying the current investment policy. Expected yields on fixed interest investments are based on gross redemption yields as at the Balance Sheet date. Expected returns on equity investments reflect long-term real rates of return experienced in the respective markets.

Scheme history:

	2010/11 £m	2011/12 £m	2012/13 £m	2013/14 £m	2014/15 £m
Present value of liabilities:					
Local Government Pension Scheme	(153.8)	(178.6)	(227.0)	(258.9)	(325.6)
Police Pension Scheme:					
Old Scheme	(1,059.0)	(1,148.4)	(1,349.4)	(1,338.4)	(1,530.8)
New Scheme	(20.2)	(33.8)	(55.0)	(63.9)	(88.4)
Fair value of assets:					
Local Government Pension Scheme	119.9	130.0	158.5	174.0	209.4
Surplus / (deficit) in the scheme:					
Local Government Pension Scheme	(33.9)	(48.6)	(68.5)	(84.9)	(116.2)
Police Pension Scheme:					
Old Scheme	(1,059.0)	(1,148.4)	(1,349.4)	(1,338.4)	(1,530.8)
New Scheme	(20.2)	(33.8)	(55.0)	(63.9)	(88.4)
Total	(1,113.1)	(1,230.8)	(1,472.9)	(1,487.2)	(1,735.4)

The liabilities show the underlying commitments that the Group has in the long run to pay retirement benefits. The total liability of £1,735.4m has a substantial impact on the net worth of the Group as recorded in the Balance Sheet,

resulting in a negative overall balance of £1,616.9m. However, statutory arrangements for funding the deficit mean that the financial position of the Group remains healthy.

The deficit on the Local Government Pension Scheme will be made good by increased contributions over the remaining working life of employees, as assessed by the Scheme actuary.

Finance is only required to be raised to cover police pensions when the pensions are actually paid and is restricted to the level of employers' contribution payable by the PCC.

Expected Future Contributions

The total contributions expected to be made to the Local Government Pension Scheme in the year to 31 March 2016 are £9.7m, In addition to this the PCC has decided to make an additional payment totalling £3.5m to reduce the pension fund deficit. Expected contributions for the Police Pension Scheme in the year to 31 March 2016 are £15.0m.

Basis for Estimating Assets and Liabilities

Liabilities have been assessed on an actuarial basis using the projected unit method; an estimate of the pensions that will be payable in future years dependent on assumptions about mortality rates, salary levels etc. The Police Pension Scheme is governed by the Police Pension Regulations 1987 and related regulations. An actuarial valuation is carried out every 4 years, the last being at 31 March 2012. The Group has applied the pension disclosures from Government Actuary's Department (GAD) model in accordance with the requirements of CIPFA's Code of Practice for accounting periods commencing on or after 1 April 2010. The accuracy of the outcome relies on assumptions made in a turbulent economy, and actual results may be materially different.

The Local Government Pension Scheme is administered by Surrey County Council. The value of the Staff Pension Scheme is assessed triennially and the employers' contribution is adjusted in line with the actuaries report. The actuaries are Hymans Robertson LLP.

The principal actuarial assumptions used have been:

	Local Government Pension Scheme		Police I Sch	Pension eme
	2013/14	2014/15	2013/14	2014/15
Long-term expected rate of return on assets in the scheme:				
Equity Investments	4.3%	3.3%	-	-
Bonds	4.3%	3.3%	-	-
Property	4.3%	3.3%	-	-
Cash	4.3%	3.3%	-	-
Rate of inflation	2.9%	2.5%	2.5%	2.2%
Rate of increase in salaries	4.2%	3.9%	4.5%	4.2%
Rate of increase in pensions	2.9%	2.5%	2.5%	2.2%
Rate for discounting scheme liabilities	4.3%	3.3%	4.4%	3.3%
Mortality assumptions:		I		
Longevity at 65 for current pensioners:				
Men		years		years
Women	24.6 years		25.7	years
Longevity at 65 for future pensioners:				
Men	24.5	years	25.4	years
Women	26.9	years	27.9 years	

Sensitivity Analysis

The estimation of the defined benefit obligations is sensitive to the actuarial assumptions set out in the table above. The sensitivity analysis below has been determined based on reasonably possible changes of assumptions occurring at the end of the reporting period and assumes for each change that the assumption analysed changes while all the other assumptions remain constant. The assumptions in longevity, for example, assume that life expectancy increases or decreases for men and women. In practice this is unlikely to occur, and changes in some of the assumptions may be interrelated. The estimations in the sensitivity analysis have followed the accounting policies for the scheme i.e. on an actuarial basis using the projected unit credit method. The methods and types of assumptions used in preparing the sensitivity analysis below did not change from those used in the previous period.

	Local Government Pension Scheme				New Police Pension Scheme		
	Approximate		Approximate %		Approximate %		
	% Increase to	Approximate	Increase to	Approximate	Increase to	Approximate	
	Employer	monetary amount	Employer	monetary amount	Employer	monetary amount	
	Liability	£m	Liability	£m	Liability	£m	
0.5% decrease in Real Discount Rate	13%	43.4	11.7%	170.0	17.6%	15.6	
1 year increase in member life expectancy	3%	9.8	2.5%	36.0	1.8%	1.6	
0.5% increase in the Salary Increase Rate	5%	15.8	1.7%	25.0	7.4%	6.6	
0.5% increase in the Pension Increase Rate	8%	26.5	9.3%	136.0	8.1%	7.2	

Asset and Liability Matching Strategy

The LGPS assets are administered by Surrey County Council through the Surrey Pension Fund. The fund does not have an explicit asset and liability matching strategy as the current funding level necessitates an investment strategy that is expected to provide long term investment returns in excess of the anticipated rise in liabilities. Liabilities are considered when determining the overall investment strategy and the fund holds assets that are highly correlated with the movement in liabilities, including fixed rate and index-linked gilts as well as absolute return investments that seek to generate positive returns regardless of market conditions.

Investment risk is monitored regularly both in absolute terms and relative to the Fund's liabilities, with regular scrutiny by the Surrey Pension Fund Board and its external advisors.

Defined Benefit Obligation

The table below shows the LGPS and Police Pension Scheme pension liability split by member type and the weighted average duration for each category. The weighted average duration is the weighted average time in years until payment of all expected future discounted cashflows, determined based on membership and the financial and demographic assumptions as at the most recent actuarial valuation. The shorter the duration, the more 'mature' the employer.

Between 17 and 23 years is considered 'medium' by the actuary, with anything below and above those durations 'short' and 'long' respectively.

	Local Governm	Local Government Pension Scheme		ension Scheme	New Police Pension Scheme		
	Liability Split	Weighted Average Duration (Years)	Liability Split	Weighted Average Duration (Years)	Liability Split	Weighted Average Duration (Years)	
Active Members	60,0%	28.5	44.1%		98.5%		
Deferred Members	22.7%	28.4	4.0%	Split not available	1.0%	Split not available	
Pensioner Members	17.3%	12.2	51.9%		0.5%		
TOTAL	100.0%	24.4	100.0%	23.0	100.0%	33.0	

Net Defined Benefit Obligation Value shown in Balance Sheet

	LG	LGPS		Police Pension Scheme				Total	
	2013/14	2014/15	Old 201	New 3/14	Old 201	New 4/15	2013/14	2014/15	
Present value of the defined benefit obligation	£m (258.9)	£m (325.6)	£m (1,338.4)	£m (63.9)	£m (1,530.8)	£m (88.4)	£m (1,661.2)	£m (1,944.8)	
Fair value of plan assets	174.0	209.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	174.0	209.4	
Net liability arising from define benefit obligation	(84.9)	(116.2)	(1,338.4)	(63.9)	(1,530.8)	(88.4)	(1,487.2)	(1,735.4)	

The Police Pension Scheme is unfunded, and therefore has no assets to cover its' liabilities. The Local Government Pension Scheme's assets consist of the following categories, by proportion of the total assets held:

FAIR VALUE OF PENSION ASSETS	Asset Distribution 31st March 2014	Asset Distribution 31st March 2014	Asset Distribution 31st March 2015	Asset Distribution 31st March 2015
	£m	%	£m	%
EQUITY SECURITIES				
Consumer	14.7	8%	15.7	7%
Manufacturing	11.9	7%	11.3	5%
Energy and Utilities	8.5	5%	6.9	3%
Financial Institutions	11.4	7%	13.4	6%
Health and Care	6.4	4%	7.4	4%
Information Tecnology	9.5	5%	10.0	5%
DEBT SECURITIES				
Corporate Bonds (Investment Grade)	6.6	4%	7.6	4%
Corporate Bonds (Non Investment Grade)	0.3	0%	0.8	0%
UK Government	4.0	2%	4.7	2%
Other	1.1	1%	1.9	1%
PRIVATE EQUITY	6.6	4%	7.9	4%
REAL ESTATE				
UK Property	3.7	2%	13.2	6%
Overseas Property	5.9	3%	0.1	0%
INVESTMENT FUNDS & UNIT TRUSTS				
Equities	48.5	28%	61.0	29%
Bonds	15.6	9%	19.6	9%
Other	17.1	10%	24.2	12%
DERIVATIVES				
Foreign Exchange	0.8	0%	-0.2	0%
CASH & CASH EQUIVALENTS	1.4	1%	3.9	2%
Total	174.0	100%	209.4	100%

Changes to the Local Government Pension Scheme permits employees retiring on or after 6 April 2006 to take an increase in their lump sum payment on retirement in exchange for a reduction in their future annual pension. The valuation of the Group's retirement benefit liabilities as at 31 March 2015 includes an allowance for this change to the pension scheme. An allowance has also been made for life expectancy improvements.

Further information can be found in Surrey County Council's Pension Fund's Annual Report which is available on request from County Hall, Kingston Upon Thames, Surrey KT1 2DN.

Financing of Police Pensions

The Chief Constable is responsible for administering the Police Pension Fund in accordance with the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011. During the year all payments and receipts are made to and from the PCC Police Fund.

The in-year cost of police pensions is financed with the contributions from police officers (old scheme 11% and new scheme 9.5%) and also a contribution from the employer at 24.2% of salary and operated through a pensions account. If there is a deficit on the Police Pensions Fund Account this is met by a transfer from the Income and Expenditure Account funded by the Home Office. Conversely, if there is a surplus this would be transferred to the Income and Expenditure Account for repayment to the Home Office.

The Pension Fund Account, shown below, shows a deficit of £18.6m, offset by a transfer from the Income and Expenditure Account, funded by a grant from the Home Office. The above figure includes a provision totalling £5.7m to reflect the estimated cost of probable revised lump sum pension payments and interest for retired police officers.

SURREY POLICE PENSION FUND ACCOUNT

	2013/2014	2014/2015
	£m	£m
Contributions Receivable		
From employer:		
Normal	(16.9)	(16.2)
Early retirements	0.0	0.0
Other	0.0	0.0
From members	(8.5)	(8.7)
Transfers In		
Individual transfers in from other schemes	(0.3)	(0.4)
Other	0.0	0.0
Benefits Payable:		
Pensions	30.5	31.9
Commutations and lump sum retirement benefits	6.8	10.1
Lump sum death benefits	0.0	0.1
Lump sum ill-health benefits	0.0	0.0
Payments to and on account of leavers		
Refund of contributions	0.0	0.0
Individual transfers out to other schemes	0.4	0.6
Other	0.0	1.2
Sub-total for the year before transfer from the Group of amount equal to the deficit	12.0	18.6
Additional funding payable by the Group to fund the deficit for the year	(12.0)	(18.6)
Total	0.0	0.0

NET A COUTO OT A TEMPOR		2014/2015
NET ASSETS STATEMENT	£m	£m
Net current assets and liabilities		
Contributions due from employer	0.0	0.0
Unpaid pension benefits	0.0	5.7
Amount due to sponsoring department	0.0	0.0
Other current assets and liabilities	0.0	(5.7)
Net assets and liabilities	0.0	0.0

A pension provision totalling £5.7m has been recognised in the Pension Fund Account to reflect the estimated cost of probable revised lump sum pension payments and interest for retired police officers. Following a complaint from a retired firefighter, the Pensions Ombudsman found the Government Actuarial Office guilty of maladministration in failing to review commutation factors from 1998 to 2006 applicable to lump sum payments. The full determination is available at http://www.pensions-ombudsman.org.uk. The Pensions Ombudsman noted that the principles are the same for other retired firefighters and police officers. To avoid further cases having to be heard, the government is making arrangements for payments to be made to others affected, reflecting the more beneficial terms that would have been applied had commutation factors been reviewed and revised at the appropriate times. A corresponding debtor has been recognised in the Pension Fund Account to reflect the Home Office liability to make the additional top up payment as laid down in the Police Pension Fund Regulations 2007. The estimated cost does not include any additional tax, which is a liability for HM Treasury.

17. External Audit Costs

	As At 31st	As At 31st As At 31st March 2015			
	March 2014 £m	GROUP £m	PCC £m	CC £m	
Fees payable with regard to external audit services carried out by the appointed auditor for the year	0.072	0.169	0.093	0.076	
Fees payable in respect of statutory inspections	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Total	0.072	0.169	0.093	0.076	

18. Related Parties

The PCC has direct control over the Group finances and is responsible for setting the Police and Crime Plan. The Chief Constable retains operational independence and operates within the budget set by the PCC, to deliver the aims and objectives set out in the Police and Crime Plan. Section 28 of the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011 requires that the local authorities covered by the police area must establish a Police and Crime Plan (PCP) for that area. The PCP scrutinises the decisions of the PCC, reviews the Police and Crime Plan and has a right of veto over the precept.

IAS 24 (Related Party transactions) requires the Chief Constable to disclose all material transactions with related parties, which have potential to influence the Chief Constable or to be controlled or influenced by the Chief Constable.

Central government has some control as it is responsible for providing the statutory framework and provides the majority of the funding to the PCC who in turn funds the Chief Constable's expenditure. The value of grants received is shown in the Group Income and Expenditure Account.

The Borough Councils also have some control through their provision of precept income as detailed in the Group Accounts.

Employer's pension contributions paid by the Group to the Surrey County Council Pension Fund totalled £12.3m during 2014/2015 (£6.8m in 2013/14).

A survey of the related party interests of the Chief Constable and senior managers and their immediate family members was carried out in preparing the Statement of Accounts. No related party interests were disclosed.

19. Contingent Liabilities

At 31st March 2015 the Chief Constable had two contingent liabilities:

Holiday pay

During 2014/15 there were a number of legal developments in employment law that potentially may have financial consequences for police forces in England and Wales. These concern legal challenges in respect of backdated and future payments of overtime and allowances, the most prominent being the ruling of the Employment Appeal Tribunal in November 2014 (on the treatment of regular overtime in payments for holiday pay purposes) which may impact on all forces.

At the date of publication of the unaudited accounts there had been no claims made to the Group in relation to this holiday pay issue and future claims are considered to be unlikely. The financial impact should any such case arise is considered by the Group to be minimal.

Police officer compulsory retirements A19 Rule

Section A19 of the Police Pension Regulations 1987 allows police forces to require a police officer to retire once he or she reaches 30 years' service. Police forces' adoption of the A19 rule increased as budgets were substantially cut and forces had to find new ways to cut their expenditure.

The Group had officers who were retired under A19 during 2011 and 2012 which may give rise to a potential liability.

An Employment Appeal Tribunal (EAT) was heard in March 2015 which upheld a police officers' age discrimination claim. However this decision was overturned in July 2015 when police officers' lost their claim for age discrimination at an appeal tribunal.

At the date of publication of the audited accounts there had been no decision as to whether or not an appeal would be made therefore it remains as a contingent liability because any future liability is considered unlikely.

20. Contingent Assets

The Group has no contingent assets to disclose at 31st March 2015.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES

1. General Principles

The Accounts have been prepared in keeping with the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the U.K. 2014/15, issued by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) and the Service Reporting Code of Practice for Local Authorities 2014/15 (SeRCOP), approved by the Financial Reporting Advisory Board (FRAB). The Code is based on approved accounting standards issued by the International Accounting Standards Board and interpretations of the International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee, except where these are inconsistent with specific statutory requirements. The Code also draws on approved accounting standards issued by the International Public Sector Accounting Standards Board and the UK Accounting Standards Board where these provide additional guidance. The Accounts follow the guidance notes produced by CIPFA for practitioners on the application of the Code to Local Authorities in the UK 2014/15.

The accounting policies also reflect the powers and responsibilities of the Chief Constable of Surrey as designated by the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011 and the Home Office Financial Management Code of Practice for the Police Service, England and Wales 2012. The accounts cover the 12 months to 31 March 2015.

The Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011 (Transitional Provision) Order 2013 allows the same provisions of the Local Government Act 2003 for financial arrangements to apply in relation to the chief constable as they apply in relation to a local authority.

2. Fundamental Accounting Policies

The Statement of Accounts has been prepared in accordance with the following accounting concepts and principles:

- Accruals the accruals basis of accounting establishes that the non-cash effects of transactions are reflected in the accounting period in which those effects are experienced and not in the period in which any cash is received or paid.
- Going Concern the Accounts have been prepared on the assumption that the Chief Constable will operate for the foreseeable future. This means in particular that the Comprehensive Income & Expenditure Statement and Balance Sheet assume no intention to curtail significantly the scale of operation.
- Understandability to ensure that the Statement of Accounts produced can be understood by its readers.
- Relevance to ensure that the information provided about the Force's financial position, performance and cash flow is useful for assessing stewardship of public funds and for making economic decisions.
- Materiality provides a threshold or cut-off point to ensure that the information included in the Financial Statements is of such significance as to justify its inclusion. Omissions or misstatements of items are material if they could, individually or collectively, influence users decisions or assessment of the Financial Statements.
- Reliability to ensure that the financial information provided accurately reflects the substance of the transactions and other events that have taken place.
- Comparability the information contained in the Financial Statements has been prepared so that it can be readily compared with similar information about the same entity for different accounting periods and with similar information about other entities.
- Primacy of Legislative Requirements Chief Constables derive their powers from statute and their financial
 and accounting framework is closely controlled by primary and secondary legislation. Where specific
 legislative requirements and accounting principles conflict, the legislative requirement is applied. However,
 the Code deals with such conflicts by showing the position required by the Code's accounting requirements

in the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, and the effect of the legislative requirements in the Movement in Reserves Statement. These accounting entries are included in the Group Accounts

The following sections set out the Chief Constable's general accounting policies, which have been followed in 2014/2015.

3. Income and Expenditure

All expenditure is paid for by the PCC including the wages of police staff and officers, and no actual cash transaction or events take place between the two entities. Costs are however recognised within the Chief Constables' Accounts to reflect financial resources consumed.

The accounts reflect the normal accruals concept whereby costs for services are included in the year to which they relate.

A small number of internal trading accounts are operated for management purposes only. These internal transactions are excluded for the purpose of producing this Statement of Accounts.

4. Overheads and Support Services

The costs of overheads and support services are charged to the service area that benefits from the supply or service in accordance with the costing principles of the CIPFA Service Reporting Code of Practice (SerCoP). The total absorption costing principle is used – the full cost of overheads and support services is shared between users in proportion to the benefits received, with the exception of:

- Corporate and Democratic Core costs relating to the PCC's status as a multi-functional, democratic organisation.
- Non Distributed Costs the cost of discretionary benefits awarded to employees retiring early and impairment losses chargeable on Assets Held for Sale.

These two cost categories are defined in SerCoP and accounted for as separate headings in the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, as part of Net Expenditure.

5. Employee Benefits Payable During Employment

Short-term employee benefits are those due to be settled within 12 months of the year-end. They include benefits such as salaries, paid annual leave and paid sick leave. An accrual is made for the cost of holiday entitlements (or any form of leave, e.g. time off in lieu) earned by employees but not taken before the year-end, which employees can carry forward into the next financial year. The accrual is charged to the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, but then reversed out through the Movement in Reserves Statement, so that holiday benefits are charged to revenue in the financial year in which the holiday absence occurs. This reversal and corresponding reserve are included in the PCC and Group Accounts.

6. Termination Benefits / Exit Packages

Termination benefits are amounts payable as a result of a decision by the Chief Constable to terminate an officer's employment before the normal retirement date or an officer's decision to accept voluntary redundancy, and are charged on an accruals basis to the appropriate service in the Comprehensive Income & Expenditure Statement when the Chief Constable is demonstrably committed to the termination of the employment of an officer or group of officers or making an offer to encourage voluntary redundancy.

Where termination benefits involve the enhancement of pensions, statutory provisions require the General Fund Balance to be charged with the amount payable by the Group to the pension fund or pensioner in the year, not the amount calculated according to the relevant accounting standards. In the Movement in Reserves Statement, appropriations are required to and from the Pensions Reserve to remove notional debits and credits for pension enhancement termination benefits and replace them with debits for the cash paid to the pension fund and

pensioners and any such amounts payable but unpaid at the year-end. The movement in Reserves appropriations are reflected in the Group Accounts.

7. Long-term employee benefits - Pension arrangements

Surrey Police pension schemes are accounted for under the International Financial Reporting Standard IAS 19 where any obligation arising from other long-term employee benefits that depend on length of service, need to be recognised when service is rendered. As injury awards under the Police schemes are dependent on service, the liability expected to arise due to injury awards is valued annually.

The Group has decided, following actuarial advice, to rebut the IAS19 assumption that injury benefits are not subject to the same degree of uncertainty as pension payments. This means that the pension interest cost and expected return on assets is not charged to the Cost of Services, but instead has been charged to the Net Operating Expenditure, and any actuarial gain or loss charged to the total Income and Expenditure.

In the single entity accounts the cost of pensions are charged to the Chief Constable Accounts rather than the PCC Accounts. This decision has been made as the Chief Constable holds the Contract of Employment for over 99% of police employees, controls terms of employment and makes decision on the overall staffing structures. These costs are included in the Group Account on consolidation.

The Group participates in different pension schemes which meet the needs of its employees. The schemes provide members with defined benefits related to pay and service. The costs of providing pensions for employees are charged to the accounts in accordance with the statutory requirements governing each scheme. The schemes are as follows:

Police Officers Pension Schemes

This scheme is un-funded and the employer's contribution is charged to the Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement. The scheme is divided into Police Pension Scheme (OPPS), Injury Awards and New Police Pension Scheme (NPPS).

No transfer values are paid for officers transferring between police forces, however, values are paid for transfers between other schemes.

The accounts are constructed on the IAS 19 accounting basis and better reflect commitment in the long term to increase contributions to make up any shortfall in attributable net assets in the Pension Fund. The relationship between the Force and the Home Office is captured in the Pension Fund Account included within the Notes to the Financial Statements.

Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS)

Police staff employees, subject to certain qualifying criteria, are eligible to join the LGPS. The pension costs that are charged to the Group's accounts in respect of these employees are equal to the contributions paid to the funded pension scheme for these employees and the net current service cost.

The attributable assets of the LGPS are measured at their fair value at the Balance Sheet date, quoted securities are valued at bid price and attributable scheme liabilities are measured on an actuarial basis using the projected unit method. The scheme liabilities comprise any benefits promised under the formal terms of the scheme and, any obligations for further benefits where a public statement or past practice by the employer has created a valid expectation in the employees that such benefit will be granted.

The pension costs included in the Notes to the Financial Statements in respect of these schemes have been determined in accordance with relevant government regulations and the 2014/15 CIPFA Code.

Where the payments made for the year in accordance with the scheme requirements do not match the change in the Group's recognised asset or liability for the same period, the recognised cost of pensions will not match the

amount required to be raised in Council Tax. These differences are appropriated via the Movement in Reverses Statement.

Any unpaid contributions to the scheme are presented in the Balance Sheet as a Short Term Creditor.

Past service costs are recognised in Net Cost of Services on a straight-line basis over the period in which the increase in benefit accrues.

Losses arising on a settlement or curtailment not allowed for in the actuarial assumptions are measured at the date on which the Group becomes demonstrably committed to the transaction and recognised in Net Cost of Services at that date. Gains arising on a settlement or curtailment not allowed for in the actuarial assumptions are measured at the date on which all parties whose consent is required are irrevocably committed to the transaction and recognised in Net Cost of Services at that date.

8. VAT

The Chief Constable and PCC are registered as a Group for VAT purposes submitting a single VAT return on behalf of the Group. Expenditure in the Chief Constable's Comprehensive Income & Expenditure Statement excludes any amounts relating to VAT as all VAT is remitted to/from the HM Revenue and Customs.

9. Prior Period Adjustments, Changes in Accounting Policies and Estimates and Errors

Prior period adjustments arise as a result of a change in accounting policies or to correct a material error. Changes in accounting estimates are accounted for prospectively, i.e. in the current and future years affected by the change and do not give rise to a prior period adjustment.

Changes in accounting policies are only made when required by proper accounting practices or the change provides more reliable or relevant information about the effect of transactions, other events and conditions on the Chief Constable's financial position or financial performance. Where a change is made, it is applied retrospectively by adjusting opening balances and comparative amounts for the prior period as if the new policy had always been applied.

Material errors discovered in prior period figures are corrected retrospectively by amending opening balances and comparative amounts for the prior period.

10. Exceptional Items

Exceptional items are disclosed and described separately in the financial statements where it is necessary to do so to provide further understanding of the Chief Constable's financial position. They are material items of income or expense that have been shown separately due to the significance of their nature or amount.

GLOSSARY OF ACCOUNTING TERMS

ACCRUALS

Expenditure or income for goods or services that have been received or supplied, but are not invoiced until the following financial year.

ACTUARIAL GAINS AND LOSSES

For a defined benefit pension scheme, the changes in actuarial deficits or surpluses that arise because, either events have not coincided with the actuarial assumptions made for the last valuation (experience gains and losses), or the actuarial assumptions have changed.

AMORTISATION

An annual charge made to the overall PCC budget, reducing the value of an asset to zero, over a period of time.

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME AND EXPENDITURE STATEMENT

The main revenue fund of the CC showing accounting costs for the year.

CURRENT SERVICE COST (PENSIONS)

The increase in the present value of a defined benefit scheme's liabilities expected to arise from employee service in the current period.

CURTAILMENT (PENSIONS)

For a defined benefit scheme, an event that reduces the expected years of future service of present employees or reduces for a number of employees the accrual of defined benefits for some or all of their future service. Curtailments include:-

- Termination of employees' services earlier than expected, and
- Termination of or amendment to the terms, of a defined benefit scheme so that some or all future service by current employees will no longer qualify for benefits or will qualify only for reduced benefits.

DEFINED BENEFIT SCHEME

A defined benefit scheme is a pension or other retirement benefit scheme other than a defined contribution scheme. Usually, the scheme rules define the benefits independently of the contributions payable, and the benefits are not directly related to the investments of the scheme. The scheme may be funded or unfunded.

DEFINED CONTRIBUTION SCHEME

A pension or other retirement benefit scheme into which an employer pays regular contributions fixed as an amount or as a percentage of pay and will have no legal or constructive obligation to pay further contributions if the scheme does not have sufficient assets to pay all employee benefits relating to employee service in the current and prior periods.

DEPRECIATION

The measure of the cost, or revalued amount of the benefit, of a non-current asset, that has been consumed during the period. Consumption includes the wearing out, using up or other reduction in the useful life of a non-current asset, whether arising from the use, passage of time or obsolescence through either changes in technology or demand for the goods and services produced by the asset.

GENERAL FUND BALANCES

Accumulated surpluses maintained to meet expenditure, pending the receipt of income, and to provide a cushion against expenditure being higher, or income lower, than expected.

GOING CONCERN

The concept that the CC will remain in operational existence for the foreseeable future, in particular that the revenue accounts and Balance Sheet assume no intention to curtail significantly the scale of the operations.

GOVERNMENT GRANTS

Assistance by government and inter-government agencies and similar bodies, whether local, national or international, in the form of cash or transfers of assets in return for past or future compliance with certain conditions relating to the activities of the PCC or CC.

IMPAIRMENT

A reduction in the value of a non-current asset below its carrying amount on the Balance Sheet due to a significant decline in its market value during the period, evidence of obsolescence or significant physical damage to the non-current asset or a significant adverse change in the statutory or regulatory environment in which the CC operates.

INTEREST COSTS (PENSIONS)

For a defined benefit scheme, the expected increase during the period in the present value of the scheme liabilities because the benefits are one period closer to settlement.

PAST SERVICE COSTS

For a defined benefit scheme, the increase in the present value of the scheme liabilities related to employee service in prior periods arising in the current period as a result of the introduction of, or improvement to, retirement benefits.

PRECEPT

An amount determined by the PCC (the preceptor) which is collected on its behalf by the local District Councils (the billing authorities) as part of the Council Tax.

PROVISION

An amount set aside to provide for a liability which is likely to be incurred but the exact amount and the date on which it will arise is uncertain.

RELATED PARTIES

Two or more parties are related parties when at any time during the financial period:

- (i) one party has direct or indirect control of the other party, or
- (ii) the parties are subject to common control from the same source, or
- (iii)one party has influence over the financial and operational policies of the other party to an extent that the other party might be inhibited from pursuing at all times its own separate interests, or
- (iv) the parties, in entering a transaction, are subject to influence from the same source to such an extent that one of the parties to the transaction has subordinated its own separate interests.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTION

A related party transaction is the transfer of assets or liabilities or the performance of services by, to or for a related party irrespective of whether a charge is made.

REVENUE SUPPORT GRANT

Central Government grant supporting the cost of public services.

SETTLEMENT (PENSIONS)

An irrevocable action that relieves the employer (or the defined benefit scheme) of the primary responsibility for a pension obligation and eliminates significant risks relating to the obligation and the assets used to effect the settlement. Settlements include:-

- a lump sum cash payment to scheme members in exchange for their rights to receive specified pension benefits
- the purchase of an irrevocable annuity contract sufficient to cover vested benefits, and
- the transfer of scheme assets and liabilities relating to a group of employees leaving the scheme.