

Reference Number	Details of Request	Response
2019/01	Please provide all Performance Indicator reports based on Sexual Assault Referral Centre Indicators of Performance in SARCs since the onset of SARCIPs (2017). There should be at least seven documents which have been produced so far collating activity reports from the individual SARCs. SARCs must provide activity reports in line with the SARCs management information stipulated template, Sexual Assault Referral Centres Indicators of Performance (SARCIP) and are reported to you quarterly.	The Surrey OPCC doesn't commission or directly hold any information about the Surrey SARC. Mountain Healthcare are the providers of the Surrey SARC although it is NHS England that commissions them.
2019/02	<p>1) Do you currently have a contract in place with an external provider for the provision of communication support? Communication support is defined as media management, public relations, social media, internal communication, employing engagement and marketing.</p> <p>2) What date is the contact due to expire/be up for renewal?</p> <p>3) Who currently holds this contract (if any)?</p> <p>4) What was the value of the last communication support contract awarded and what was this for?</p> <p>5) Is there a provision within your office to have ad-hoc communication specialists work with you, for example on a particular project.</p> <p>6) When, if ever was a communication review carried out by an external body i.e. communication company, consultant etc. A communication review looks at output, structure, expenditure and impact.</p>	<p>1. No</p> <p>2. N/A</p> <p>3. N/A</p> <p>4. N/A</p> <p>5. No</p> <p>6. No</p>
2019/03	Under the above law please advise the annual salary of Mr Munro	<p>The salary of the Surrey PCC is £71, 400.</p> <p>The salaries of Police and Crime Commissioners are decided on a national basis and vary depending on the size of the Force area they represent.</p> <p>I have attached a link to a publication which you may be interested in regarding PCC salaries  <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/review-of-police-and-crime-commissioner-remuneration">https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/review-of-police-and-crime-commissioner-remuneration</a></p>
2019/04	<p>Dear Surrey Police and Crime Commissioner, Can I pls know the number if arrests if any made by surrey poloce in terms of tailgating, speeding, vehicles unfit for use on public roads...i see so many unfit vehicles with no properwarning lights</p> <p>Everyone tailfates and overseers and I never see any vehicle pulled ober dor rhe abobe mentioned offences</p>	Information not held by OPCC. Forwarded to Surrey Police.

2019/05	<p>Accordingly, please could you send me, the names and contact details, including email address of any/all person(s) who are involved in the decision making-process for the Procurement of Telecoms and IT Hardware and Services for your organisation. (Eg Procurement, IT, Sustainability roles)</p> <p>I would like the above information to be provided to me as electronic copies – ie via email.</p> <p>If this request is too wide or unclear, I would be grateful if you could contact me as I understand that under the Act, you are required to advise and assist requesters. If any of this information is already in the public domain, please can you direct me to it, with page references and URLs if necessary but make clear the specific people we would need to contact.</p> <p>If the release of any of this information is prohibited on the grounds of breach of confidence, I ask that you supply me with copies of the confidentiality agreement and remind you that information should not be treated as confidential if such an agreement has not been signed.</p> <p>I understand that you are required to respond to my request within the 20 working days after you receive this letter. I would be grateful if you could confirm in writing that you have received this request.</p>	Information not held by OPCC. Advised to contact Surrey Police.
2019/06	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. How many officers and civilian staff in your force are connected to or participate in hunting/foxhunting/are members of hunts</li> <li>2. Is hunting/foxhunting a declarable interest or association</li> <li>3. If any officers and civilian staff in your force are connected to or participate in hunting/foxhunting/are members of hunts, how many are attached to or work on rural crime teams</li> </ol>	Information not held by OPCC. Advised to contact Surrey Police.
2019/07	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. how many times within last months, starting on 1 October 2018 and up to and including 8 April 2019 Surrey Police attended for any interventions or other police related incidents addresses at Beauchamp Road, West Molesey, Surrey</li> <li>2. please also state what exact incidents/call outs or other related visits those were</li> <li>3. please advise whether Surrey Police proposed or implemented any special measures in relation to those visits and give nature of those measures</li> </ol>	Information not held by OPCC. Forwarded to Surrey Police.

2019/08	<p>1) The job title for every post in your office. Please include any joint posts. If you have appointed a Deputy Commissioner, please include that.</p> <p>2) The salary, or if that is unavailable, the pay band, for each of the offices in 1.</p>	<p>1. You can find the list of job titles on our website. I have attached the link as follows: <a href="https://www.surrey-pcc.gov.uk/about-the-office-of-the-pcc/">https://www.surrey-pcc.gov.uk/about-the-office-of-the-pcc/</a></p> <p>2. The Chief Executive and Treasurer salaries can also be found on the page above. Other salaries fall with the following bands:  Head of Performance and Governance - £51,309 - £76,963  Head of Policy and Commissioning – £51,309 - £76, 963  Victim Policy and Commissioning Lead – £35,506 - £52,257  Community Safety Policy and Commissioning Lead – £35,506 - £52,257  Criminal Justice Policy and Commissioning Lead – £35,506 - £52,257  Communications Manager – £35,506 - £52,257  Communications and Engagement Officer – £26,219 - £31,314  Complaints and Diversity Policy Lead – £35,506 - £52,257  Independent Custody Visitor Scheme Manager – £26,219 - £31,314  Office Manager – £30,396 - £36,315  PA to the PCC – £26,219 - £31,314</p>
2019/09	<p>How much money in total (e.g. expenses &amp; gratuities) has been paid to Professor Evan Stark (or his employer Rutgers University) in return for Stark's presentations to the Surrey Police and Crime Commissioner? Stark's 10 March 2016 lecture 'Understanding Coercive Control' at Dorking Halls (viewable online) justifies the moral arguments for accepting premeditated murder of one gender/sex by the other (and only one gender/sex by the other) and does NOT report the gendered domestic abuse statistics published in The Center for Disease Control report entitled 'National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey 2010' a report that shows that psychological abuse and coercive control is employed by both males AND females?</p>	<p><a href="http://www.surrey-pcc.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/FOI_09.pdf">http://www.surrey-pcc.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/FOI_09.pdf</a></p>
2019/10	<p>I write a blog that includes cycling related posts.</p> <p>I am developing one about cycling safety and the increasing instances of close passes.</p> <p>I am interested in the equipment supplied by Cycle UK to UK police forces for operation close pass.</p> <p>How often and where has it been used; and how successful do you think it has been?</p> <p>I'd only like to be contacted to reply to this enquiry and for other road safety related information.</p>	<p>Information not held by the OPCC. Advised to contact Surrey Police</p>
2019/11	<p>Prosecutions and recorded incidents relating to dangerous bridge strikes and near misses on the A325 Wrecclesham bridge location GU9 8TY. The latest occurred on the 13 May 2019. The information is required to establish why so many collision incidents have occurred at the bridge and what action has been taken by Surrey Police and the Health and Safety Executive in their public prosecutions register.</p>	<p>Information not held by the OPCC. Forwarded to Surrey Police to respond.</p>
2019/12	<p>Please see the attached questionnaire detailing the information I require in relation to mental health provisions for suspects. I am requesting this information as a process of data gathering from numerous public sector services in order to complete research, and any data will be included in my dissertation. I have provided a brief summary at the end of this letter explaining the purpose of this research.</p> <p>Please respond to the following questions with as much information as possible, attaching relevant policies or further information where required, including signposting for data which is openly obtainable elsewhere. A questionnaire has been provided for completion, however, if you are unable to use it, please answer the questions as per your Freedom of Information Act policy. No personal, confidential or identifiable data is requested and should not be included. (Questionnaire included in email but not replicated here)</p>	<p>Information not held by the OPCC. Advised to contact Surrey Police or NHS England.</p>

2019/13	Rejected as FOI as doesn't fit criteria	N/A
2919/14	<p>I am concerned about intrusive surveillance technologies that my local police force and forces around the UK might be using. Because of the lack of available information about what surveillance tools the police are using, our community will have no idea if and how they are being monitored as they go about their everyday lives.</p> <p>I share the concerns of Liberty and Privacy International that this surveillance tech threatens everyone's basic right to privacy, and can cause us to change what we do, where we go and who we go with.</p> <p>If the police are using these tools solely to investigate serious crimes, and if they think what they are doing is lawful, then they should be open and honest with the public about what they are doing.</p> <p>I am aware that tools such as automated facial recognition and algorithms for predicting crime have also been proven to discriminate against certain groups of people. Therefore, I believe that there needs to be public consultation to discuss these risks.</p> <p>The police should not be using technology which affects our community so negatively, eradicating our privacy in public spaces and also online.</p> <p>1.As my elected Police and Crime Commissioner, please can you tell me which, if any, of the following tools and tactics are being used by our local force:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• body worn video cameras</li> <li>• facial recognition</li> <li>• hacking</li> <li>• IMSI catchers</li> <li>• mobile phone extraction</li> <li>• predictive policing</li> <li>• social media intelligence</li> </ul> <p>2.If the police are using any of the above, please let me know what impact assessments have been carried out to make sure their use doesn't negatively affect people's rights and freedoms.</p> <p>3.And can you guarantee that intrusive police tech will not be used in the future without proper safeguards and public consultation in place to ensure our fundamental rights are protected?</p>	Information not held by OPCC. Advised to contact Surrey Police.

2019/15	<p>I am concerned about intrusive surveillance technologies that my local police force and forces around the UK might be using. Because of the lack of available information about what surveillance tools the police are using, our community will have no idea if and how they are being monitored as they go about their everyday lives.</p> <p>I share the concerns of Liberty and Privacy International that this surveillance tech threatens everyone's basic right to privacy, and can cause us to change what we do, where we go and who we go with.</p> <p>If the police are using these tools solely to investigate serious crimes, and if they think what they are doing is lawful, then they should be open and honest with the public about what they are doing.</p> <p>I am aware that tools such as automated facial recognition and algorithms for predicting crime have also been proven to discriminate against certain groups of people. Therefore, I believe that there needs to be public consultation to discuss these risks.</p> <p>The police should not be using technology which affects our community so negatively, eradicating our privacy in public spaces and also online.</p> <p>1.As my elected Police and Crime Commissioner, please can you tell me which, if any, of the following tools and tactics are being used by our local force:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• body worn video cameras</li> <li>• facial recognition</li> <li>• hacking</li> <li>• IMSI catchers</li> <li>• mobile phone extraction</li> <li>• predictive policing</li> <li>• social media intelligence</li> </ul> <p>2.If the police are using any of the above, please let me know what impact assessments have been carried out to make sure their use doesn't negatively affect people's rights and freedoms.</p> <p>3.And can you guarantee that intrusive police tech will not be used in the future without proper safeguards and public consultation in place to ensure our fundamental rights are protected?</p>	Information not held by OPCC. Advised to contact Surrey Police.
2019/16	<p>Under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, please provide full answers to each of the following questions:</p> <p>1. In each of the past three financial years (2016-17, 2017-18 and 2018-19), how many times has your PCC applied for a certificate of forfeiture for an officer's pension after they were convicted of a criminal offence?</p> <p>2. On how many of these occasions was the certificate a) granted by the Home Secretary and b) rejected by the Home Secretary</p> <p>3. In cases where the certificate was not granted, please provide details ie sentence not seemed serious enough</p>	Confirmation sent that the PCC has not applied for any pension forfeitures during the time frames stated.
2019/17	Internal matter	NA

2019/18	<p>I am conducting research into the implications for communities of the College of Policing policy to ensure all entrants into Police Forces as Constables have obtained or will obtain a degree. I understand that the Chief Constable of Lincolnshire Police has submitted a Judicial Review claiming it will cost his Force the equivalent of 40 Officers.</p> <p>Therefore it seems reasonable to assume that all Police Forces/PCCs have undertaken the same research.</p> <p>Can you please confirm :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1: There is a written record that your Force has considered the implications of this Policy.</li><li>2. The contents of this record, in terms of whom was present and the decision and</li><li>3. What are the implications for the Force in terms of abstractions ( equivalent Officers per day) if this Policy is introduced?</li></ol> <p>Kind Regards</p>	Information not held by OPCC. Advised to contact Surrey Police.
---------	--	---